**Introduction**

Purpose

An effective program review supports continuous quality improvement to enhance student learning outcomes and, ultimately, increase student achievement rates. Program review aims to be a sustainable process that reviews, discusses, and analyzes current practices. The purpose is to encourage program reflection, and to ensure that program planning is related to goals at the institutional and course levels.

Process

Foothill College administrative units are reviewed annually, with an in-depth review occurring on a three-year cycle. Program review for administrative units involves the participation of administrators, faculty and staff who directly serve in or contribute to, the program areas. Administrative Units include the Office of the President and Vice Presidents, as well as Division Offices. Upon completion of the template, vice presidents (president) provide feedback and will forward the program review on to the next stage of the process, including resource prioritization at OPC and PaRC.

Annual program review addresses five core areas, with a final section for administrator comments and reflections regarding next steps:

1. Data and trend analysis

2. Outcomes assessment

3. Program goals and rationale

4. Program resources and support

5. Program strengths/opportunities for improvement

6. Vice President/President’s comments

2012-2013 Submission Deadline:

• Program review documents are due to Vice President/President by January 25, 2012 for completion of Section 6.

• Vice President/President completes section 6 and returns documents to program review team by February 8, 2013.

• Program review documents are due to the Office of Instruction by February 15, 2013.

Foothill College Program Review Cycle:

To see which template your department is scheduled to complete, check the Program Review Schedule: <http://foothill.edu/staff/irs/programplans/2012-2013/12-13-prog-rev-schedule.pdf>

Questions?

Contact: Office of Instruction and Institutional Research (650) 949-7240

Website: <http://foothill.edu/staff/irs/programplans/index.php>

**Basic Program Information**

Administrative Unit Name:

Administrative Unit Mission:

Administrative Members and Departments covered in this program review (Please list all members of your Administrative Unit along with position title):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Name | Department | Position |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Section 1. Data and Trend Analysis**

1.1. Program/Department Data

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Dimension | 2009-2010 | 2010-2011 | 2011-2012 |
| Students Served  |  |  |  |
| Faculty Served |  |  |  |
| Staff Served |  |  |  |
| Full-time FTEF |  |  |  |
| Part-time FTEF |  |  |  |
| Full-time Staff |  |  |  |
| Part-time Staff |  |  |  |

1.2 Using the data provided above, include a short narrative analysis of the following indicators. Please attach supporting studies or data to the final program review submitted to your Vice President/President.

1. Population served (Please use prompts below to describe the faculty, staff and/or students you primarily serve):
	1. Locations/times of service (Day, Evening, Off Campus, etc.)
	2. How was this tracked?
	3. What is the trend?
2. Scheduling of services and/or offerings (Please use the following prompts to describe the scheduling of your Administrative Unit):
	1. How have you adjusted your scheduling to align with the Core Missions of Basic Skills, Transfer and Workforce?
	2. For Instructional AU, please comment on the effectiveness of your divisional curriculum processes, including any suggested areas of improvement or needed support.
3. Staffing structure (Does the staffing structure of your Administrative Unit meet the program or department’s needs? If yes, please explain. If not, consider the following prompts in framing your answer.)
	* 1. Which aspects of the work are key to the institution’s mission?
		2. Has the staff increased, decreased or remained the same to meet those changes?
		3. How has technology affected the workload in your office?
		4. Does the workload have significant peaks and valleys during the year? If so, describe.
		5. Do you anticipate the workload will increase, decrease or remain constant in the upcoming one to three years? Is this a temporary situation?
		6. What steps can be taken to improve your program or department’s organizational efficiency within its current budget?
		7. What strategies have been used to improve the delivery of support services within the program or department?
4. Budget analysis:
	1. Division/Unit budget analysis: Use table below to list expenditure categories and budgeted amounts for your unit (add categories if needed):

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Account Category | Budgeted Amount | Description of Common Charges |
| Contractor |  |  |
| Copy Costs/Printing |  |  |
| Equipment |  |  |
| Pro Card |  |  |
| Software |  |  |
| Stipends |  |  |
| Travel |  |  |

* 1. In light of budget constraints and fewer college resources, please describe the process used to make adjustments and reallocations of budgets between departments in your administrative unit. If you have not reallocated budgets within your unit, please describe how that would work in your AU in future resource allocation cycles.
1. Administrative Professional Development: Please describe any areas of training or resources needed (for example: Tenure, Evaluation, Enrollment Management).
2. Basic Skills Programs (if applicable). For more information about the Core Mission of Basic Skills, see the Basic Skills Workgroup website: <http://foothill.edu/president/basicskills.php>
	1. Please discuss current outcomes or initiatives related to this core mission.
3. Transfer Programs (if applicable). For more information about the Core Mission of Transfer, see the Transfer Workgroup website: <http://foothill.edu/president/transfer.php>
	1. Please discuss current outcomes or initiatives related to this core mission.
4. Workforce/Career Technical Education Programs (if applicable). For more information about the Core Mission of Workforce, see the Workforce Workgroup website: <http://foothill.edu/president/workforce.php>
	1. Please discuss current outcomes or initiatives related to this core mission.
5. Student Equity: Foothill-De Anza Community College District Board policy and California state guidelines require that each California community college submit a report on the college’s progress in achieving equity in five specific areas: access, course completion, ESLL and basic skills completion, degree and certificate completion, and transfer. For the latest draft of the Student Equity Report, please see the ESMP website: <http://foothill.edu/staff/irs/ESMP/index.php>
	1. To better inform the Student Equity efforts at Foothill College, please comment on any current outcomes or initiatives related to increasing outreach, retention and student success of underrepresented students in your program.

**Section 2. Learning Outcomes Assessment Summary**

2.1. Insert – 2011-2012 Four Column Report for AU-SLO Assessment from TracDat, please contact the Office of Instruction to assist you with this step if needed.

****

2.3 Administrative Unit Student Learning Outcomes: Please provide observations and reflections below after reflecting on your AU-SLOs in TracDat and reviewing your divisional Program-Level SLOs.

2.3.a What findings or themes can be gathered from the AU-SLOs or departmental Program-Level SLO assessments?

2.3.b Does any of the data suggest that revisions might be necessary in order to support faculty, staff and/or students to successfully achieve the AU-SLOs?

2.3.c How has assessment of AU-SLOs led to improvement in student success at the institution?

**Section 3: Program Goals and Rationale**

Program goals should be broad and incorporate some sort of measurable action that connects to Foothill’s core missions, [Educational & Strategic Master Plan (ESMP)](http://foothill.edu/staff/irs/ESMP/index.html), the division plan, and SLOs.

3.1 Previous program goals from last academic year

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Goal | Original Timeline | Actions Taken | Status/Modifications |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

3.2 New Goals: Goals can be multi-year

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Goal | Timeline (long/short-term) | How will this goal improve student success or respond to other key college initiatives | Action Steps |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

**Section 4: Program Resources and Support**

4.1 After reviewing the requests from the programs within this Administrative Unit, use the tables below to summarize any additional new, unfunded resource requests. These requests should only be for needed items that cannot be funded out of your existing AU budgets. Refer to the Operations Planning Committee website: <http://foothill.edu/president/operations.php> for current guiding principles, rubrics and resource allocation information.

Full Time Faculty and/or Staff Positions

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Position | $ Amount | Related Goal from Table in section 3.2 and/or rationale |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Unbudgeted Reassigned Time (calculate by % reassign time x salary/benefits of FT)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Position | $ Amount | Related Goal from Table in section 3.2 and/or rationale |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

One-time B Budget Augmentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Description | $ Amount | Related Goal from Table in section 3.2 and/or rationale |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Ongoing B Budget Augmentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| B Budget FOAP | $ Amount | Related Goal from Table in section 3.2 and/or rationale |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Facilities and Equipment

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Facilities/Equipment Description | $ Amount | Related Goal from Table in section 3.2 and/or rationale |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

**Section 5: Program Strengths/Opportunities for Improvement**

5.1 Address the concerns or recommendations that were made in prior program review cycles.

5.2 What statements of concern have been raised in the course of conducting the program review?

5.3 After reviewing the data, what strengths or positive trends would you like to highlight about your Administrative Unit?

**Section 6: Feedback and Follow Up**

This section is for the Vice President and/or President to provide feedback.

6.1 Strengths and successes of the program as evidenced by the data and analysis:

6.2 Areas of concern, if any:

6.3 Recommendations for improvement:

6.4 Recommended next steps:

\_\_\_ Proceed as planned on program review schedule

\_\_\_ Further review/Out of cycle in-depth review