## Enrollment and Success in Math 10 and Math 48A in Fall 2018 <br> Mathematics Dept. Presentation February 14, 2019

## As of Fall 2018, ALL students could enroll in gateway, transfer-level math (compliant with $A B$ 705):

- Math 10 (statistics)
- Math 48A (precalculus)

Supports added to help lower-achieving students succeed:

- Math 10 (statistics): Added tutors
- Math 48A (precalculus): Added a corequisite, Math 248A. [Math 48A also available as a stand-alone class that was open to students based on HS GPA and course completion.]


## Questions:

- Was there a change in the number of students passing?
-Which students passed?
-Did tutors improve student success in Math 10?
- Did the corequisite improve student success in Math 48A?


## Was there a change in the number of

 students passing?- Fall 2018 pass rates declined from Fall 2017, but were similar to Spring 2018:



## Was there a change in the number of students passing?

- Greater access led to greater enrollment, and an increase in the number of students passing:

|  | \# Enrolled |  |  | \# Passed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fall <br> 2017 | Fall <br> 2018 | Gain | Fall <br> 2017 | Fall <br> 2018 | Gain |
| Math 10 | 619 | 896 | 277 <br> $(45 \%)$ | 438 | 556 | 118 <br> $(27 \%)$ |
| Math 48A | 264 | 364 | 100 <br> $(38 \%)$ | 166 | 217 | 51 <br> $(31 \%)$ |

- Math 10: 160 additional Latinx students enrolled and 63 additional Latinx students passed
- Math 48A: 77 additional Latinx students enrolled and 40 additional Latinx students passed


## Which students passed?

- Disproportionate impact continues to exist in Fall 2018
- Latinx students have significant gaps for both Math 10 and Math 48A
- No significant improvement for Math 10 from Fall 2017
- Some improvement for Math 48A: Latinx gap decreased 4 percentage points from Fall 2017


## Did tutors improve student success in Math 10?

- Problem: Differences in success could be due to differences in students.
- Prior to Fall 2018:
- Access based on combination of HS GPA and course completion, or high enough score on placement exam (Accuplacer).
- Example: Math 10 if $12^{\text {th }}$ grade HS GPA $=3.0$ and student has passed algebra.
- Fall 2018:
- All students have access to Math 10, including students with low HS GPAs


## How do we control for differences in student achievement?

Compared Fall 2018 to similar students from Fall 2017 - Spring 2018. Did not look at all students, but able to make causal inferences.

- Step 1: Logistic regression on total data set to determine variables for matching (different between groups and related to success)
- Step 2: Match students on these variables
- Step 3: Logistic regression on matched data to look at effect of tutors


## Did tutors improve student success in Math 10?

- Yes, but only for students with higher HS GPAs (logistic regression $p<.05$ ).
- Comparison of matched students:

|  | F17-Sp18 |  | F18 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | HS GPA Band* | Count | Passed | Count | Passed | GPA >=3.0 |
| :--- |
| GPA 2.3-2.9 |
| GPA <2.3 |

*HS GPA bands are from California Community College Chancellor's Office minimum placement recommendations for statistics.

## Did the corequisite improve student success in Math 48A?

- Compared Fall 2018 Math 48A/248A students to similar students from Fall 2018 Math 48A standalone class. Did not look at all students, but able to make causal inferences.
- Fall 2018 Math 48A stand-alone class
- Access based on combination of HS GPA and course completion.
- Example: Math 48A if $12^{\text {th }}$ grade HS GPA $=3.2$.
- Fall 2018 Math 48A with Math 248A:
- All students have access, including students with low HS GPAs


## Did the corequisite improve student success in Math 48A? <br> - Yes (logistic regression $p<.01$ ).

- Comparison of matched students:

|  | No Coreq |  | Coreq |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| HS GPA Band* | Count | Passed | Count | Passed |
| GPA >=3.4 | 10 | $55 \%$ | 22 | $77 \%$ |
| GPA 2.6-3.3 | 32 | $36 \%$ | 69 | $64 \%$ |
| GPA <2.6 | 25 | $41 \%$ | 55 | $47 \%$ |

*HS GPA bands are from California Community College Chancellor's Office minimum placement recommendations for precalculus.

## Summary

- Fall 2018 pass rates in Math 10 and Math 48A declined from Fall 2017, but were similar to Spring 2018
- Greater access in Fall 2018 vs. Fall 2017 = more students enrolled and more students passed:
- Math 10:
- 45\% gain (277 students) in \# enrolled
- $27 \%$ gain (118 students) in \# passed
- Math 48A:
- 38\% gain (100 students) in \# enrolled
- $31 \%$ gain (51 students) in \# passed


## Summary

- Disproportionate impact (DI) continues to exist in Fall 2018.
- Math 10:
- Latinx students have DI
- No significant improvement from Fall 2017
- Math 48A:
- Latinx students have DI
- Latinx gap decreased by 4 percentage points


## Summary

- Math 10 tutors improved student success, but only for students with higher HS GPAs
- Math 48A corequisite improved student success
- Still to come: WHY did higher-GPA students benefit from tutors but not those with lower HS GPAs? WHAT ASPECTS of the corequisite seemed to improve success?
- Data: student perceptions (survey results); student attendance at workshops and tutoring sessions in the Foundations Lab
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