Foothill College Institutional Metrics

Student Achievement Data: Institutional Set Standards
April 5, 2021
Academic Senate

E.Kuo FH IRP



Agenda

- Metrics as component of local goal setting and accreditation
- Define institutional metrics
 - Emphasis today on institutional set standards
- Process of regular review
- Monitor progress




What are institutional metrics?

- Key performance metrics
- Related to the college mission/system goals
- Board approved
- Posted publicly



Where do institutional metrics come from?



1 Institutional-Set Standards (ISS)

Metrics identified by the ACCJC beginning in 2013, our accrediting commission. Reported annually and included in the ACCJC Annual Report. College asked to identify target floor and aspirational goals.


02 Vision for Success (VfS)

Metrics adopted by the Board of Governors in 2017 and is a system-wide initiative. Using baseline data from 2016-17, college to set goals for a five-year timeline through 2021-22. Includes equity-related metrics.

03 Student Equity & Achievement (SEA)

Metrics identified by the CCCCO to align with VfS and integral to the Student Equity Achievement Plan. Using baseline data from 2017-18 for a three-year plan (2018-19 to 2021-22). College identifies disproportionately impacted (DI) groups.

Timeline of Adoption



Today's Focus

- Emphasis on Institutional Set Standards
 - Midterm Report due October 15, 2021
- Additional metrics not reviewed in-depth here



Institutional-Set Standards



Institutional-Set Standard Overview

- College first identified methodology in 2013; last revisited in Spring 2019
- Reporting includes identification of target floors and aspirational goals
 - Target methodology: 75% of 3-year average
 - Goal methodology: based on VfS 5-year goal, calculated as an annual goal



Institutional-Set Standards: Six Metrics

1. Course Completion

4. Transfer

2. Certificates

5. Licensure Exam Pass Rates

3. Degrees

3a. Associate Degrees

3b. Bachelor's Degrees

6. Employment Rates for CTE Students

Institutional-Set Standards: Six Metric Categories (recap)

Midterm report asks college to reflect on its data (for metrics 1-4)

- 1. Course Completion
- 2. Certificates

- 3. Degrees
 - 3a. Associate Degrees
 - 3b. Bachelor's Degrees

4. Transfer

5. Licensure Exam Pass Rates

6. Employment Rates for CTE Students

Course Success

 Definition: Calculated as the number of student completions with a grade of A, B, C, P divided by the number of students enrolled in the course



Course Success

81%		
81%		
81%		
61%		
82%		
FLAT		



Target Floor



Certificates

 Definition: Calculated as the number of Certificates of Achievement earned



Certificates

2017-18	819		
2018-19	790		
2019-20	794		
Target Floor	600		
Aspirational Goal	860		
Goal Progress	UP		



Associate Degrees

 Definition: Calculated as the number of AA, AS, ADT awards



Associate Degrees

2017-18	965		
2018-19	1,012		
2019-20	932		
Target Floor	727		
Aspirational Goal	1,018		
Goal Progress	DOWN		



Bachelor's Degrees

 Definition: Calculated as the number of BS awards earned from the Dental Hygiene program



Bachelor Degree

2017-18	23		
2018-19	45		
2019-20	60		
Target Floor	32		
Aspirational Goal	75		
Goal Progress	UP		




Transfer

 Definition: Calculated as the number transferring to a 4-year institution



Transfer

2017-18	1,255			
2018-19	1,087			
2019-20	1,127			
Target Floor	867			
Aspirational Goal	1,383			
Goal Progress	UP			



Institutional-Set Standards Progress Summary

Metric	Target Floor	Aspirational Goal	2018-19	2019-20	Goal Progress
1. Course Completion	61%	82%	81%	81%	FLAT
2. Certificates	600	860	790	794	UP
3. Degrees			J		
3a. Associate Degrees	727	1,018	1,012	932	DOWN
3b. Bachelor's Degrees	32	75	45	60	UP
4. Transfer	867	1,383	1,087	1,127	UP
5. Licensure Pass Rates*	54%-75%		64%-100%	75%-100%	
6. Employment Rates for CTE Students*	50%-75%		50%-100%	56%-100%	FOOTH

^{*}There are 10 programs requiring state and/or national licensure exams and 17 programs with 10 or more students. ACCJC does not ask for aspirational goals to be identified for these metrics. Targets are not tar

Next Steps



For Discussion: Process for Ongoing Review

Regular review of all local goal setting Alignment across Institutional Metrics plannina documents **Document process** Institutional Set Standards Student Vision for Success **Monitor metrics Achievement** Student Equity and Achievement progress Data **Ensure** annual updates **Refine existing** processes **Promote college** dialogue regarding equity initiatives and metrics

Questions?

