INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH \& PLANNING

DATE: February 17, 2022
TO: Josh Pelletier, Supervisor, Student Outreach \& CTE Transitions

FROM: Elaine Kuo, College Researcher
RE: Dual Enrollment CCAP/MOU Matriculation Tracking, 2020-21

## Overview

High students enrolled in Foothill College’s Dual Enrollment (CCAP/MOU) classes in 2020-21 were identified and tracked to determine the matriculation rate among this cohort into 202122 (Summer, Fall, Winter terms). This report examines 1,110 high school students enrolled in 97 sections in 2020-21. The Appendix includes more detailed information about matriculation rates by high school and ethnicity.

## Highlights

- The Dual Enrollment program (among classes with $12^{\text {th }}$ graders) enrolled more females compared to males (55\% vs. 43\%).
- The Dual Enrollment program (among classes with $12^{\text {th }}$ graders) enrolled over half to twothirds females of color compared to their male counterparts.
- Almost one-third of students enrolled in a Dual Enrollment class matriculated at Foothill in 2021-22 (31\%).
- Dual Enrollment students from the Khan Lab School demonstrated the highest matriculation rate (71\%) while Menlo Atherton High School students had the lowest (0\%).
- Latinx students represent the largest enrollment group among the matriculated population (42\%), followed by Asians (26\%) and Whites (23\%).
- Latinx students represent roughly one-fourth (26\%) of the Dual Enrollment population, but almost half of the matriculated population (42\%).
- Asian students represent roughly one-third (32\%) of the Dual Enrollment population, but only one-fourth of the matriculated population (26\%).
- While most of the matriculated students re-enrolled at Foothill (86\%), a minority enrolled at De Anza only (10\%).
- Course success rates among the matriculated students are slightly higher compared to overall Foothill rates at $85 \%$.
- Students who matriculated at Foothill primarily enrolled in Business \& Social Sciences (26\%) and STEM (25\%) classes.
- Course success rates gaps exist among matriculated students, especially between Filipinx (53\%)/Pacific Islander (46\%) and Asian (92\%)/White (85\%) students.


## Dual Enrollment and Matriculation

Table 1 shows the Dual Enrollment program enrollment by ethnicity and gender. Slightly more than half of enrollment are females (55\%). This rate varies within each ethnicity grouping. While Asian and Latinx females make up slightly more than half of their respective ethnicity grouping ( $53 \%$ and $57 \%$ ), females represent almost two-thirds among Black and White students (65\%).

Table 1. Dual Enrollment Program Headcount by Ethnicity and Gender, 2020-21.

|  | Female |  | Male |  | Non Binary |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | HC | Percent |
| Asian | 137 | $53 \%$ | 117 | $37 \%$ | 5 | $1 \%$ | 259 | $100 \%$ |
| Black | 19 | $65 \%$ | 30 | $35 \%$ |  |  | 49 | $100 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 14 | $74 \%$ | 14 | $20 \%$ | 2 | $5 \%$ | 30 | $100 \%$ |
| Latinx | 258 | $57 \%$ | 194 | $37 \%$ | 9 | $6 \%$ | 461 | $100 \%$ |
| Native American | 4 | $96 \%$ | 1 | $4 \%$ |  |  | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 8 | $99 \%$ | 5 | $1 \%$ |  |  | 13 | $100 \%$ |
| White | 153 | $65 \%$ | 108 | $31 \%$ | 7 | $4 \%$ | 268 | $100 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 14 | $75 \%$ | 8 | $12 \%$ | 3 | $13 \%$ | 25 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 607 | $55 \%$ | 477 | $31 \%$ | 26 | $3 \%$ | 1,110 | $100 \%$ |

Out of 1,110 Dual Enrollment program students, a little less than one-third matriculated the subsequent academic year (31\%) (Table 2).

Table 2. Dual Enrollment Program Matriculation, 2020-21 to 2021-22.

| 2020-21 Enrollment | 2021-22 Enrollment | Matriculation Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,110 | 348 | $31 \%$ |

Note: 2021-22 includes Summer, Fall, Winter quarters only.
A slightly higher rate among female students matriculated in the following academic year (2021-22) compared to their male counterparts (+2-percentage points) (Table 3).

Table 3. Dual Enrollment Program Matriculation by Gender, 2020-21 to 2021-22.

| Gender | DE HC | DE \% | Matric HC | Matric \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 607 | $55 \%$ | 199 | $57 \%$ |
| Male | 477 | $43 \%$ | 143 | $41 \%$ |
| Non Binary | 26 | $2 \%$ | 6 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 1,110 | $100 \%$ | 348 | $100 \%$ |

Note: 2021-22 includes Summer, Fall, Winter quarters only.

Table 4 shows the breakdown among Dual Enrollment students compared to matriculated students by ethnicity. Latinx students represent almost half of all matriculated students (42\%) even though they only represent one-fourth of the Dual Enrollment program students (26\%). Asian students represent a smaller percentage among matriculated students compared to Dual Enrollment program students (26\% vs. 32\%).

Table 4. Dual Enrollment Program Matriculation by Ethnicity, 2020-21 to 2021-22.

| Ethnicity | DE HC | DE $\%$ | Matric HC | Matric $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asian | 259 | $32 \%$ | 90 | $26 \%$ |
| Black | 49 | $3 \%$ | 15 | $4 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 30 | $4 \%$ | 7 | $2 \%$ |
| Latinx | 461 | $26 \%$ | 146 | $42 \%$ |
| Native American | 5 | $1 \%$ | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 13 | $8 \%$ | 4 | $1 \%$ |
| White | 268 | $23 \%$ | 81 | $23 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 25 | $3 \%$ | 4 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | 1,110 | $100 \%$ | 348 | $100 \%$ |

Not all the matriculated students enrolled at Foothill (Table 5). Some enrolled at De Anza (10\%), and a smaller group of students enrolled in classes at both colleges (3\%).

Table 5. Dual Enrollment Program Matriculation by College, 2021-22.

| College | Headcount | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Foothill Only | 301 | $86 \%$ |
| De Anza Only | 35 | $10 \%$ |
| Both Colleges | 12 | $3 \%$ |
| Total | 348 | $100 \%$ |

Table 6 shows the headcount and rate of Dual Enrollment program students who matriculated (at Foothill and/or De Anza) by high school and district. Menlo-Atherton High School had the lowest matriculation rate (0\%) while over half of the Khan Lab School and Sequoia High School students matriculated ( $71 \%$ and $56 \%$ ).

Table 6. Dual Enrollment Program Matriculation by High School, 2020-21 to 2021-22.

| HS District | High School | DE HC | Matric HC | Percent |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fremont | Cupertino HS | 33 | 13 | $39 \%$ |
|  | Fremont HS | 79 | 28 | $35 \%$ |
| Metro Ed District | Silicon Valley Career Technical Education | 76 | 23 | $30 \%$ |
| Mtn View Los Altos | Alta Vista HS | 19 | 3 | $16 \%$ |
|  | Freestyle Academy of Communication |  |  |  |
|  | Arts \& Technology | 95 | 39 | $41 \%$ |
|  | Los Altos HS | 42 | 11 | $26 \%$ |
|  | Mountain View HS | 61 | 10 | $16 \%$ |
| Palo Alto | Gunn HS | 51 | 12 | $24 \%$ |
|  | Palo Alto HS | 148 | 46 | $31 \%$ |
| Private | Cristo Rey San Jose Jesuit HS | 49 | 1 | $2 \%$ |
|  | East Side Preparatory School | 129 | 57 | $44 \%$ |
|  | Khan Lab School | 28 | 20 | $71 \%$ |
| Sequoia | East Palo Alto Academy | 40 | 11 | $28 \%$ |
|  | Menlo Atherton HS | 79 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
|  | Sequoia HS | 95 | 53 | $56 \%$ |
|  | Woodside HS | 86 | 21 | $24 \%$ |
| Total |  | 1,110 | 348 | $31 \%$ |

## Dual Enrollment Matriculation and Course Success

Dual Enrollment program students who matriculated experienced a slightly higher course success rate compared to Foothill's overall success rates ( $85 \%$ vs. $80 \%$ (based on Fall 2021 per CCCCO Data Mart)) (Table 7).

Table 7. Matriculated Dual Enrollment Course Success, 2021-22.

| Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdraw |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\text { Enr }}{463}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{85 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { Enr }}{46}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{8 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { Enr }}{37}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{7 \%}$ | $\frac{\text { Enr }}{546}$ | $\frac{\text { Percent }}{100 \%}$ |

Note: 2021-22 includes Summer, Fall, Winter quarters only.
Table 8 shows that the course success rates among Dual Enrollment program matriculated females are higher than their male counterparts (+11-percentage points).

Table 8. Matriculated Dual Enrollment Course Success by Gender, 2021-22.

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  |  | Withdraw |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | End | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| Female | 296 | $89 \%$ | 20 | $6 \%$ | 17 | $5 \%$ | 333 | $100 \%$ |
| Male | 160 | $78 \%$ | 26 | $13 \%$ | 20 | $10 \%$ | 206 | $100 \%$ |
| Non Binary | 7 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 7 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 463 | $85 \%$ | 46 | $8 \%$ | 37 | $7 \%$ | 546 | $100 \%$ |

Course success gaps exist among the various ethnicity groups (Table 9). Asian students are the highest performing population, completing almost all of their courses (92\%), while Filipinx and Pacific Islanders are completing at much lower rates ( $53 \%$ and $46 \%$ ). Blacks and Latinx completion rates are closer to the overall Foothill success rates ( $81 \%$ and $85 \%$ ) but at least 6 percentage points behind Asians.

Table 9. Matriculated Dual Enrollment Course Success by Ethnicity, 2021-22.

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdraw |  | Total |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| Asian | 123 | $92 \%$ | 4 | $3 \%$ | 6 | $5 \%$ | 133 | $100 \%$ |
| Black | 22 | $81 \%$ | 4 | $15 \%$ | 1 | $4 \%$ | 27 | $100 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 9 | $53 \%$ | 5 | $29 \%$ | 3 | $18 \%$ | 17 | $100 \%$ |
| Latinx | 205 | $85 \%$ | 21 | $9 \%$ | 15 | $6 \%$ | 241 | $100 \%$ |
| Native American | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 6 | $46 \%$ | 5 | $38 \%$ | 2 | $15 \%$ | 13 | $100 \%$ |
| White | 93 | $85 \%$ | 7 | $6 \%$ | 10 | $9 \%$ | 110 | $100 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 4 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 4 | $100 \%$ |
| Total | 463 | $85 \%$ | 46 | $8 \%$ | 37 | $7 \%$ | 546 | $100 \%$ |

Note: 2021-22 includes Summer, Fall, Winter quarters only.

## Methodology

Dual Enrollment (CCAP/MOU) sections were identified based on "D" section coding. Given the limitation of the three character spaces, some sections were unable to be " $D$ " coded.
Therefore, all sections were verified with the Dual Enrollment program office. Not all "D" coded sections were tracked for matriculation in 2021-22 as not every section enrolled $12^{\text {th }}$ graders. There were 97 eligible sections out of 125 offered sections.

All students enrolled in these sections were identified and then verified they were high school special admit students at time of enrollment. Only high school special admit students are included in this analysis; 35 students were excluded.

Four students enrolled in "D" coded section at multiple high school sites. These students were assigned a primary (home) high school based on the determination of the Foothill Dual Enrollment program.

Matriculation includes enrollment in a Foothill class in Summer 2021, Fall 2021, or Winter 2022. Spring 2022 was excluded given the timing of the analysis.

Course success is identified by earned final grades

## Source

FH IRP, ODS [DE CCAP AY21 Matriculation Match.bqy]

## Appendix

Matriculation Rates by District, High School, and Ethnicity, 20201-21 to 2021-22.

| HS District | Home HS | Ethnicity | DE HC | Matric HC | Matric \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fremont | Cupertino HS | Asian | 31 | 13 | 42\% |
|  |  | White | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Fremont HS | Asian | 17 | 4 | 24\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 7 | 1 | 14\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 40 | 17 | 43\% |
|  |  | Pacific Islander | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  |  | White | 12 | 5 | 42\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
| Metro Ed | Silicon Valley Career Tech Ed | Asian | 13 | 5 | 38\% |
|  |  | Black | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 6 | 1 | 17\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 42 | 8 | 19\% |
|  |  | Pacific Islander | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  |  | White | 11 | 7 | 64\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| Mtn View Los Altos | Alta Vista HS | Black | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 16 | 1 | 6\% |
|  |  | White | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | Freestyle Academy | Black | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Asian | 35 | 17 | 49\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 10 | 4 | 40\% |
|  |  | Native American | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  |  | Pacific Islander | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  |  | White | 42 | 14 | 33\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | Los Altos HS | Black | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Asian | 27 | 8 | 30\% |
|  |  | Native American | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | White | 11 | 2 | 18\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  | Mountain View HS | Black | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
|  |  | Asian | 30 | 6 | 20\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 5 | 1 | 20\% |
|  |  | Native American | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | White | 20 | 2 | 10\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| Palo Alto | Gunn HS | Asian | 27 | 5 | 19\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  |  | White | 17 | 6 | 35\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 6 | 0 | 0\% |


| HS District | Home HS | Ethnicity | DE HC | Matric HC | Matric \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Palo Alto | Palo Alto HS | Black | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
|  |  | Asian | 42 | 15 | 36\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 4 | 1 | 25\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 21 | 10 | 48\% |
|  |  | Native American | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | White | 70 | 19 | 27\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 5 | 0 | 0\% |
| Private | Cristo Rey San Jose Jesuit HS | Latinx | 44 | 1 | 2\% |
|  | East Side Preparatory School | Black | 18 | 8 | 44\% |
|  |  | Asian | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 4 | 1 | 25\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 105 | 48 | 46\% |
|  |  | Pacific Islander | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| Private | Khan Lab School | Asian | 18 | 11 | 61\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 1 | 1 | 100\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | White | 7 | 6 | 86\% |
| Sequoia | East Palo Alto Academy | Black | 4 | 1 | 25\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 35 | 10 | 29\% |
|  |  | White | 1 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Menlo Atherton HS | Black | 9 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Asian | 3 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 48 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Pacific Islander | 4 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | White | 13 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | Sequoia HS | Black | 3 | 1 | 33\% |
|  |  | Asian | 5 | 4 | 80\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 67 | 36 | 54\% |
|  |  | Pacific Islander | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | White | 16 | 10 | 63\% |
|  | Woodside HS | Black | 3 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | Asian | 7 | 2 | 29\% |
|  |  | Filipinx | 2 | 1 | 50\% |
|  |  | Latinx | 25 | 7 | 28\% |
|  |  | Pacific Islander | 2 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | White | 45 | 10 | 22\% |
|  |  | Decline to State | 2 | 1 | 50\% |

