## Foothill College Spring 2021 and Spring 2022 <br> Census Enrollment Comparisons Report

From Spring 2021 and Spring 2022:

- Enrollment
- Resident student enrollment is down $17 \%$, Non-Resident student enrollment is down $17 \%$.
- Resident FTES is down $18 \%$, and Non-Resident FTES is down $19 \%$.
- Overall, student enrollment declined by 4,100 , which is a $17 \%$ decrease.
- Overall, estimated FTES decreased by 456, which is an $18 \%$ decrease.


## Table I. Census Enrollment Comparisons

Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change |  | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | FTES | Enr | Est FTES |
| Resident | 21,784 | 2,318 | 18,066 | 1,910 | $-3,718$ | -408 | $-17 \%$ | $-18 \%$ |
| Non-Resident | 2,210 | 247 | 1,828 | 199 | -382 | -48 | $-17 \%$ | $-19 \%$ |
| Total | 23,994 | 2,565 | 19,894 | 2,109 | $-4,100$ | -456 | $-17 \%$ | $-18 \%$ |

Notes: WSCH estimate based on positive attendance estimated at $100 \%$. | Does not include Apprenticeship.
Census dates are 04/I9/2022 and 04/20/202I.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Online Learning (Synchronous and Asynchronous)
- Online learning estimated FTES is down 43\% at 1,411.
- Online learning headcount decreased from 11,273 to 7,869.
- Online learning enrollment is down $40 \%$ from 23,367 to 13,978 .
- Online learning sections offered decreased from 897 to 539.

Table 2. Online Learning Census Enrollment Spring 202I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 | 2022 | Change | \% Change |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HC | 11,273 | 7,869 | $-3,404$ | $-30 \%$ |
| Course Enr | 23,367 | 13,978 | $-9,389$ | $-40 \%$ |
| Est Wsch | 111,108 | 63,464 | $-47,644$ | $-43 \%$ |
| Est FTES | 2,470 | 1,411 | $-1,059$ | $-43 \%$ |
| Sections | 897 | 539 | -358 | $-40 \%$ |

Notes: WSCH estimate based on census enrollment.
Online sections are identified by those ending in "W," "V," "Z."
Source: FHDA IRP

- Instructional Modality
- Face-to-Face enrollment increased by $>1000 \%$ from 212 to 4,204 .
- The majority of est FTES was generated in the online asynchronous modality in Spring 2021 and Spring 2022.
- In Spring 2022, the top three instructional modalities for est FTES are online asynchronous, face-to-face, and hybrid asynchronous online/in person.

Table 3. Census Headcount and FTES by Instructional Modality Spring 202I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change |  | $\%$ Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instructional Modality | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES |
| Face-to-Face | 212 | 50 | 4,204 | 465 | 3,992 | 415 | $1883 \%$ | $830 \%$ |
| Hybrid: OL/On-Campus | 50 | 3 | 1,520 | 212 | 1,470 | 209 | $2940 \%$ | $6967 \%$ |
| Hybrid: Combined Virtual | 355 | 41 | 45 | 4 | -310 | -37 | $-87 \%$ | $-90 \%$ |
| Hybrid: All Modalities | 10 | 2 | 147 | 17 | 137 | 15 | $1370 \%$ | $750 \%$ |
| OL Asynchronous | 15,020 | 1,577 | 11,745 | 1,238 | $-3,275$ | -339 | $-22 \%$ | $-21 \%$ |
| OL Synchronous | 4,791 | 473 | 1,588 | 110 | $-3,203$ | -363 | $-67 \%$ | $-77 \%$ |
| Online (OL) Hybrid | 3,556 | 419 | 645 | 62 | $-2,911$ | -357 | $-82 \%$ | $-85 \%$ |
| Total | 23,994 | 2,565 | 13,978 | 2,108 | $-10,016$ | -457 | $-42 \%$ | $-18 \%$ |

Notes: Face-to-Face - class meets sychronously on-campus | Hybrid (Y) - class meets asynchronously online \& synchronously on-campus |
Hybrid: All Modalities (T) - class meets synchronously \& asychronously online plus synchronously on-campus |
Hybrid: Combined Virtual (R) - class meets synchronously virtually and synchronously on-campus |
Online (OL) Hybrid (Z) - class meets asynchronously \& synchronously online | OL Asynchronous (W) - class meets asynchronously online |
OL Synchronous (V) - class meets synchronously online |
Source: FHDA IRP

- Resident Students
- Enrollment among Spring 2022 resident students is 18,066, a decline of $16 \%$ from Spring 2021 (-3,391).
- AB540 enrollment decreased by 38\% from 808 in Spring 2021 to 499 in Spring 2022, reflecting in a $38 \%$ decrease in FTES est (S21: 91; S22: 56).

Table 4. Resident Enrollment and FTES
Spring 202I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change |  | $\%$ Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident Type | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES |
| Resident | 20,849 | 2,213 | 17,458 | 1,842 | $-3,391$ | -371 | $-16 \%$ | $-17 \%$ |
| AB540 | 808 | 91 | 499 | 56 | -309 | -35 | $-38 \%$ | $-38 \%$ |
| AB2364 | 79 | 8 | 59 | 6 | -20 | -2 | $-25 \%$ | $-25 \%$ |
| VACA Veteran | 48 | 6 | 50 | 6 | 2 | 0 | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Total | 21,784 | 2,318 | 18,066 | 1,910 | $-3,718$ | -408 | $-17 \%$ | $-18 \%$ |

Source: FHDA IRP

- Non-Resident Students
- Enrollment among Spring 2022 non-resident students is 1,828, a decline of $17 \%$ from Spring 2021 (-382).
- Est FTES among Spring 2022 non-resident students is 198, a decline of $20 \%$ from Spring 2021 (-49).

Table 5. Non-Resident Enrollment and FTES
Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change |  | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resident Type | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES | Enr | Est FTES |
| Non-Resident | 869 | 95 | 717 | 76 | -152 | -19 | $-17 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |
| International | 1,233 | 140 | 995 | 109 | -238 | -31 | $-19 \%$ | $-22 \%$ |
| Out of Country | 108 | 12 | 116 | 13 | 8 | 1 | $7 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Total | 2,210 | 247 | 1,828 | 198 | -382 | -49 | $-17 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |

[^0]- International F1 Visa Students
- Headcount among Spring 2022 F1 visa students is 350, a decline of $19 \%$ from 4341 (S21).
- Female students continue represent less than half of all F1 visa students, declining by 4\%-points from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022 ( $45 \%$ to 41\%).

Table 6. FI Visa Students by Gender Spring 202I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender | Headcount | Percent | Headcount | Percent | Headcount | Percent |
| Female | 192 | $45 \%$ | 143 | $41 \%$ | -49 | $-26 \%$ |
| Male | 239 | $55 \%$ | 207 | $59 \%$ | -32 | $-13 \%$ |
| Total | 431 | $100 \%$ | 350 | $100 \%$ | -81 | $-19 \%$ |

Source: FHDA IRP

- International F1 Visa Students by Top 10 Countries of Origin
- Students from the top 10 countries of origin declined in headcount by $26 \%$, from 343 in Spring 2021 to 254 in Spring 2022.
- Students from the top 10 countries of origin represent 73\% of all F1 visa students in Spring 2022 compared to 77\% in Spring 2021.

Table 7. Top 10 Countries of Origin among FI Visa Students Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Countries | Headcount | Percent | Headcount | Percent | Headcount | Percent |
| China | 157 | $46 \%$ | 95 | $37 \%$ | -62 | $-39 \%$ |
| Taiwan | 32 | $9 \%$ | 39 | $15 \%$ | 7 | $22 \%$ |
| Japan | 28 | $8 \%$ | 24 | $9 \%$ | -4 | $-14 \%$ |
| South Korea | 27 | $8 \%$ | 23 | $9 \%$ | -4 | $-15 \%$ |
| Indonesia | 26 | $8 \%$ | 18 | $7 \%$ | -8 | $-31 \%$ |
| Vietnam | 19 | $6 \%$ | 17 | $7 \%$ | -2 | $-11 \%$ |
| India | 17 | $5 \%$ | 11 | $4 \%$ | -6 | $-35 \%$ |
| Brazil | 16 | $5 \%$ | 10 | $4 \%$ | -6 | $-38 \%$ |
| Hong Kong | 15 | $4 \%$ | 9 | $4 \%$ | -6 | $-40 \%$ |
| Malaysia | 6 | $2 \%$ | 8 | $3 \%$ | 2 | $33 \%$ |
| Total | 343 | $100 \%$ | 254 | $100 \%$ | -89 | $-26 \%$ |

Spring 202I FI Total=445 | Spring 2022 FI Total=350
Source: FHDA IRP

- Student Ethnicity Headcount and Est FTES
- Headcount among White (-627), Asian (-720), and Latinx (-426) students declined between Spring 2021 and Spring 2022. This decrease represents a loss between $15 \%$ to $22 \%$ of the students in each population group.
- Total FTES est decreased by -18\% between Spring 2021 and Spring 2022.

Table 8. Student Ethnicity Headcount and FTES
Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change |  | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Headcount | Fst | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount | FTES | Headcount |
| FTES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Asian | 3,432 | 796 | 2,712 | 608 | -720 | -188 | $-21 \%$ | $-24 \%$ |
| Black | 487 | 118 | 420 | 105 | -67 | -13 | $-14 \%$ | $-11 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 590 | 139 | 424 | 103 | -166 | -36 | $-28 \%$ | $-26 \%$ |
| Latinx | 2,821 | 664 | 2,395 | 561 | -426 | -103 | $-15 \%$ | $-16 \%$ |
| Native American | 56 | 13 | 40 | 10 | -16 | -3 | $-29 \%$ | $-23 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 141 | 35 | 109 | 28 | -32 | -7 | $-23 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |
| White | 3,330 | 706 | 2,703 | 564 | -627 | -142 | $-19 \%$ | $-20 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 542 | 95 | 659 | 129 | 117 | 34 | $22 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| Total | 11,399 | 2,566 | 9,162 | 2,108 | $-2,237$ | -458 | $-20 \%$ | $-18 \%$ |

Note: Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Student Ethnicity Headcount as Percent of Total Population
- African American students decreased by 67, reflecting in <1\%-point change among the total population.
- No student population group increased in headcount between Spring 2021 and Spring 2022, with the exception of the Decline to State grouping (increase of 117 students).
- White and Asian students experienced the greatest decline in headcount ( $-1,347$ ).
- Even though the student headcount declined within each population, each group's representative proportion remained relatively stable from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022.

Table 9. Student Ethnicity Enrollment as a Percent of Total Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| Asian | 3,432 | $30 \%$ | 2,712 | $29 \%$ | -720 | $-1 \%$ |
| Black | 487 | $4 \%$ | 420 | $4 \%$ | -67 | $0 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 590 | $5 \%$ | 424 | $4 \%$ | -166 | $-1 \%$ |
| Latinx | 2,821 | $25 \%$ | 2,395 | $25 \%$ | -426 | $1 \%$ |
| Native American | 56 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | -16 | $0 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 141 | $1 \%$ | 109 | $1 \%$ | -32 | $0 \%$ |
| White | 3,330 | $29 \%$ | 2,703 | $29 \%$ | -627 | $-1 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 542 | $5 \%$ | 659 | $7 \%$ | 117 | $2 \%$ |
| Total | 11,399 | $100 \%$ | 9,462 | $100 \%$ | $-1,937$ | $0 \%$ |

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms.
Source: FHDA IRP

- Zip Code Grouping
- Headcount continued to decline from the Service Area (-458), including "Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part)" (-295) and "Service Area: Los Altos, Los Altos Hills, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Stanford" (-163).
- Headcount decreased from the rest of Santa Clara County with greater declines from "South Santa Clara County: SJ west 800/101)" (-380), "E Santa Clara County: Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101" (-224).
- "South Alameda County: Fremont, Newark, Union City" had the largest decrease in headcount outside of the service area (-121), with the exception of students from outside the Bay Area ("All Other Areas").


## Table 10. Student Headcount by Zip Code Grouping Spring 202 I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 | 2022 | Change | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zip Code Grouping | HC | HC | HC | HC |
| Service Area: Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford | 1,709 | 1,546 | -163 | -10\% |
| Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part) | 1,520 | 1,225 | -295 | -19\% |
| S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101) | 1,638 | 1,258 | -380 | -23\% |
| E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/101) | I, 144 | 920 | -224 | -20\% |
| W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of)) | 338 | 251 | -87 | -26\% |
| Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy) | 98 | 91 | -7 | -7\% |
| S Peninsula (Atherton, Belmont, E PA, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo) <br> W Peninsula (El Granada, HMB, La Honda, Ladera, Montara, Moss Beach, Pacifica, Pescadero, Portola Valley, Woodside) | 1,303 80 | 1,239 79 | -64 -1 | $-5 \%$ $-1 \%$ |
| N Peninsula (Brisbane, Burlingame, Colma, Daly City, Hillsborough, Millbrae, San Bruno, S SF) | 133 | 114 | -19 | -14\% |
| S Alameda County (Fremont, Newark, Union City) | 408 | 287 | -121 | -30\% |
| Alameda County (rest of) | 363 | 291 | -72 | -20\% |
| San Francisco County | 183 | 139 | -44 | -24\% |
| N Santa Cruz County (Ben Lomand, Boulder Creek, Felton, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley) | 93 | 65 | -28 | -30\% |
| Other CA Bay Area Region (Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma Counties) | 354 | 288 | -66 | -19\% |
| All Other Areas | 2,035 | 1,669 | -366 | -18\% |
| Total | 11,399 | 9,462 | -1,937 | -17\% |

[^1]- Zip Code Grouping as Percent of Total Headcount
- As a percentage of total headcount, students from the service area remained stable at 29\%. Students from the service area continue to represent slightly more than one-fourth of the headcount in Spring 2022.
- As a percentage of total headcount, students enrolled from "South Peninsula: Atherton, Belmont, E Palo Alto, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo" increased by 2\%-points from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022.

Table I I. Zip Code Grouping as a Percent Change of Total Headcount Spring 202I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zip Code Grouping | HC | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ | HC | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |
| Service Area: Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford | 1,709 | 15\% | 1,546 | 16\% |
| Service Area: Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part), San Jose (part), Saratoga (part) | 1,520 | 13\% | 1,225 | 13\% |
| S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/101) | 1,638 | 14\% | 1,258 | 13\% |
| ```E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/IOI) W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of))``` | 1,144 338 | $10 \%$ $3 \%$ | 920 251 | $10 \%$ $3 \%$ |
| Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy) | 98 | 1\% | 91 | 1\% |
| S Peninsula (Atherton, Belmont, E PA, Foster City, Menlo Park, Redwood City, San Carlos, San Mateo) <br> W Peninsula (El Granada, Half Moon Bay, La Honda, Ladera, Montara, Moss Beach, Pacifica, Pescadero, Portola Valley, Woodside) | 1,303 80 | $11 \%$ $1 \%$ | 1,239 79 | $13 \%$ $1 \%$ |
| N Peninsula (Brisbane, Burlingame, Colma, Daly City, Hillsborough, Millbrae, San Bruno, S SF) | 133 | 1\% | 114 | 1\% |
| S Alameda County (Fremont, Newark, Union City) | 408 | 4\% | 287 | 3\% |
| Alameda County (rest of) | 363 | 3\% | 291 | 3\% |
| San Francisco County | 183 | 2\% | 139 | I\% |
| N Santa Cruz County (Ben Lomand, Boulder Creek, Felton, Santa Cruz, Scotts Valley) | 93 | 1\% | 65 | 1\% |
| Other CA Bay Area Region (Contra Costa, Marin, Napa, Solano, Sonoma Counties) | 354 | 3\% | 288 | 3\% |
| All Other Areas | 2,035 | 18\% | 1,669 | 18\% |
| Total | 11,399 | 100\% | 9,462 | 100\% |

[^2]- Zip Code Grouping within Santa Clara County among African Americans, Filipinx, Latinx Students
- Black/African American, Filipinx, and Latinx headcount declined by 419 students.
- African American and Latinx students experienced the greatest headcount decrease from "South Santa Clara County: San Jose west 880/101" (-157).
- African American, Filipinx, and Latinx students residing in Santa Clara County represent a little over half of all African American, Filipinx, and Latinx students enrolled in Spring 2022 (53\%).

Table 12. Headcount among Disproportionately Impacted Groups within Santa Clara County Spring 202 I and Spring 2022

|  |  | 2021 | 2022 | Change | $\%$ Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | Zip Code Grouping | HC | HC | HC | HC |
| Black | Service Area I (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of)) | 32 | 23 | -9 | -28\% |
|  | Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford) | 54 | 49 | -5 | -9\% |
|  | S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/IOI) | 79 | 63 | -16 | -20\% |
|  | E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/IOI) <br> W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of)) | 35 13 | 30 9 | -5 -4 | $-14 \%$ $-31 \%$ |
|  | Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy) | 8 | 9 | I | 13\% |
| Filipinx | Service Area I (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of)) | 48 | 30 | -18 | -38\% |
|  | Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford) | 41 | 37 | -4 | -10\% |
|  | S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/I0I) | 94 | 63 | -31 | -33\% |
|  | E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/IOI) <br> W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of)) | 138 24 | 95 15 | -43 -9 | $-31 \%$ $-38 \%$ |
|  | Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy) | 6 | 5 | -1 | -17\% |
| Latinx | Service Area I (Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara (part of), San Jose (part of), Saratoga (part of)) | 252 | 211 | -4I | -16\% |
|  | Service Area 2 (Los Altos, LA Hills, Mt. View, Palo Alto, Stanford) | 318 | 295 | -23 | -7\% |
|  | S Santa Clara County (SJ west 880/I0I) | 555 | 414 | -141 | -25\% |
|  | E Santa Clara County (Alviso, Milpitas, SJ east 880/IOI) <br> W Santa Clara County (Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno, Santa Clara (rest of), Saratoga (rest of)) | 311 74 | 262 58 | -49 -16 | $-16 \%$ $-22 \%$ |
|  | Other Santa Clara County (Morgan Hill, Gilroy) | 44 | 39 | -5 | -11\% |
| Total |  | 2,126 | 1,707 | -419 | -20\% |

Filipinx and Latinx are gender neutral terms. | Total Spring 202I African American, Filipinx, Latinx HC=3,898 | Total Spring 2022 African American, Filipinx, Latinx HC=3,239
Source: FHDA IRP

- Headcount by County
- While Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties had the highest enrollment by headcount, these two counties also had the largest decrease in headcount ( $-1,240$ ) from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022.
- Together Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent close to three-fourths of total headcount in Spring 2021 (70\%) and Spring 2022 (71\%).
- All the top 10 counties declined in headcount from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022.
- Santa Cruz (-40\%) and San Diego (-34\%) Counties decreased at least one-third in headcount from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022 (HC: -103).

Table 13. Top 10 Enrollment by County
Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  |  | 2021 | 2022 | Change | \% Change |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Zip Code Grouping | HC | HC | HC | HC |
| I | Santa Clara | 6,447 | 5,291 | $-1,156$ | $-18 \%$ |
| 2 | San Mateo | 1,516 | 1,432 | -84 | $-6 \%$ |
| 3 | Alameda | 771 | 578 | -193 | $-25 \%$ |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 365 | 263 | -102 | $-28 \%$ |
| 5 | Contra Costa | 195 | 168 | -27 | $-14 \%$ |
| 6 | San Francisco | 183 | 139 | -44 | $-24 \%$ |
| 7 | San Luis Obispo | 144 | 114 | -30 | $-21 \%$ |
| 8 | Santa Cruz | 141 | 84 | -57 | $-40 \%$ |
| 9 | Sacramento | 139 | 111 | -28 | $-20 \%$ |
| 10 | San Diego | 135 | 89 | -46 | $-34 \%$ |
|  | Total | 9,901 | 8,180 | $-1,721$ | $-17 \%$ |

[^3]- African American Headcount by County
- Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent over half of African American headcount (S21: 56\%; S22: 59\%).
- Among the top 10 counties of origin among African Americans, there was an $18 \%$ decrease in headcount between Spring 2021 and Spring 2022 (-91 students).
- Among the top 10 counties of origin among African Americans, Santa Clara, Alameda, and Contra Costa Counties experienced the largest headcount decrease (-63).
- The largest percentage rate of decline in African American students came from Riverside (-57\%), Sacramento (-46\%), and Contra Costa (-40\%) Counties. Together these three counties represent a decline of 18 students ( 40 to 22 ).

Table 14. Top 10 Black Student Enrollment by County Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  |  | 2021 | 2022 | Change | \% Change |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Zip Code Grouping | HC | HC | HC | HC |
| I | Santa Clara | 218 | 183 | -35 | $-16 \%$ |
| 2 | San Mateo | 64 | 66 | 2 | $3 \%$ |
| 3 | Alameda | 62 | 37 | -25 | $-40 \%$ |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 31 | 17 | -14 | $-45 \%$ |
| 5 | Contra Costa | 16 | 12 | -4 | $-25 \%$ |
| 6 | San Bernardino | 14 | 13 | -1 | $-7 \%$ |
| 7 | Sacramento | 9 | 6 | -3 | $-33 \%$ |
| 8 | San Francisco | 7 | 11 | 4 | $57 \%$ |
| 9 | San Joaquin | 7 | 7 | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| I0 | Riverside | 7 | 4 | -3 | $-43 \%$ |
|  | Total | 435 | 356 | -79 | $-18 \%$ |

Total Spring 2021 Black HC=487 | Top 10 Spring 202I Black represents $89 \%$ of total headcount
Total Spring 2022 Black HC=420 | Top 10 Spring 2022 Black represents $85 \%$ of total headcount
Source: FH IRP

- Latinx Headcount by County
- Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties represent over three-fourths of Latinx headcount (S21: 84\%; S22: 84\%).
- Among the top 10 counties of origin among Latinx students, Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties experienced the largest headcount decrease (-316).
- The largest percentage rate of decline in Latinx students came from Santa Cruz (-47\%), Sacramento (-35\%), and San Joaquin (-24\%) Counties. Together these three counties represent a decline of 34 students ( 97 to 63).
- Contra Costa and San Francisco Counties increased headcount by 10 students ( 44 to 54).

Table I5. Top 10 Latinx Enrollment by County Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  |  | 2021 | 2022 | Change | \% Change |
| ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rank | Zip Code Grouping | HC | HC | HC | HC |
| I | Santa Clara | 1,554 | 1,279 | -275 | $-18 \%$ |
| 2 | San Mateo | 587 | 546 | -4 I | $-7 \%$ |
| 3 | Alameda | 153 | 126 | -27 | $-18 \%$ |
| 4 | Los Angeles | 63 | 51 | -12 | $-19 \%$ |
| 5 | San Joaquin | 38 | 29 | -9 | $-24 \%$ |
| 6 | Santa Cruz | 36 | 19 | -17 | $-47 \%$ |
| 7 | San Benito County | 25 | 22 | -3 | $-12 \%$ |
| 8 | San Diego | 25 | 24 | -1 | $-4 \%$ |
| 9 | Sacramento | 23 | 15 | -8 | $-35 \%$ |
| IO | Contra Costa | 22 | 26 | 4 | $18 \%$ |
| IO | San Francisco | 22 | 28 | 6 | $27 \%$ |
|  | Total | 2,548 | 2,165 | -383 | $-15 \%$ |

[^4]- First Generation Status
- First generation students decreased from 2,656 to 2,163 between Spring 2021 and Spring 2022 (-493). This decrease represents a $-19 \%$ in headcount.

Table 16. First Generation Status
Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | Change | Change |
| First Generation | 2,656 | $30 \%$ | 2,163 | $24 \%$ | -493 | $-19 \%$ |
| Non First Generation | 6,280 | $70 \%$ | 6,856 | $76 \%$ | 576 | $9 \%$ |
| Total | 8,936 | $100 \%$ | 9,019 | $100 \%$ | 83 | $1 \%$ |

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.
Only reports those who responded to the questions.
Unknown First Generation HC: Spring 2021-463; Spring 2022-443
Source: FH IRP

- First Generation by Ethnicity
- Latinx and Asians represent the majority of first generation students (S21: 76\%; S22: 77\%).
- Latinx and Asian students experienced the greatest decrease in first generation headcount (-249).
- Whites and Filipinx first generation students experienced the greatest rate of decline (Whites: -28\%; Filipinx: -31\%).

Table 17. First Generation by Ethnicity
Spring 202I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | Change | \% Change |
| Asian | 669 | $25 \%$ | 524 | $24 \%$ | -145 | $-22 \%$ |
| African American | 120 | $5 \%$ | 103 | $5 \%$ | -17 | $-14 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 65 | $2 \%$ | 45 | $2 \%$ | -20 | $-31 \%$ |
| Latinx | 1,354 | $51 \%$ | 1,144 | $53 \%$ | -210 | $-16 \%$ |
| Native American | 9 | $0 \%$ | 7 | $0 \%$ | -2 | $-22 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 42 | $2 \%$ | 29 | $1 \%$ | -13 | $-31 \%$ |
| White | 365 | $14 \%$ | 261 | $12 \%$ | -104 | $-28 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 32 | $1 \%$ | 50 | $2 \%$ | 18 | $56 \%$ |
| Total | 2,656 | $100 \%$ | 2,163 | $100 \%$ | -493 | $-19 \%$ |

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.
Only reports those who responded to the questions.
Spring 2021 HC: II,399 | Spring 2022 HC: 9,462
Source: FH IRP

- First Generation Headcount as a Percent of Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
- African American and Latinx first generation headcount decreased by 227, with Latinx students representing most of the decline (-210).
- First generation students represent almost half of Latinx population (48\%).

Table I8. First Generation DI Groups as a Percent of DI Group
Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

| 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | Change | \% Rate Change |
| Black First Gen | 120 | $25 \%$ | 103 | $25 \%$ | -17 | $0 \%$ |
| Black Total | 487 |  | 420 |  | -67 | $0 \%$ |
| Filipinx First Gen | 65 | $11 \%$ | 45 | $11 \%$ | -20 | $0 \%$ |
| Filipinx Total | 590 |  | 424 |  | -166 | $0 \%$ |
| Latinx First Gen | 1,354 | $48 \%$ | 1,144 | $48 \%$ | -210 | $0 \%$ |
| Latinx Total | 2,821 |  | 2,395 |  | -426 |  |

NCES defines first generation as a student whose parent(s) completed high school or less.
Total headcounts includes non-first generation and unknown generation students.
Source: FH IRP

- Income
- 575 fewer students reported a low family income (-21\%) between Spring 2021 and 2022.
- Over three-fourths of students reported a family income of \$25,001 and above (S22: 77\%).

Table 19. Self-Reported Family Income Spring 202I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | Change | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ |
| \$25,000 and below (low income) | 2,757 | 24\% | 2,182 | 23\% | -575 | -21\% |
| \$25,00I and above (not low income) | 8,596 | 76\% | 7,228 | 77\% | -1,368 | -16\% |
| Total | 11,353 | 100\% | 9,410 | 100\% | -1,943 | -17\% |

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."

Only reports those who responded to the question. Unknown Income HC: Spring 2021-46; Spring 2022-52
Source: FH IRP

- Low Income by Ethnicity
- Low income Latinx continue to represent the largest student group among low income students, making up over one-third of these students (S21: 33\%; S22: 37\%).
- Asian and White students represent almost half of low income students (S21: 48\%; S22: 48\%), but 343 fewer students from these two population groups enrolled from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022.

Table 20. Self-Reported Low Family Income by Ethnicity Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 202I |  | 2022 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | Change | \% Change |
| Asian | 718 | $25 \%$ | 579 | $27 \%$ | -139 | $-19 \%$ |
| Black | 188 | $6 \%$ | 167 | $8 \%$ | -21 | $-11 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 114 | $4 \%$ | 82 | $4 \%$ | -32 | $-28 \%$ |
| Latinx | 971 | $33 \%$ | 797 | $37 \%$ | -174 | $-18 \%$ |
| Native American | 20 | $1 \%$ | 17 | $1 \%$ | -3 | $-15 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 32 | $1 \%$ | 21 | $1 \%$ | -11 | $-34 \%$ |
| White | 670 | $23 \%$ | 466 | $21 \%$ | -204 | $-30 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 44 | $2 \%$ | 53 | $2 \%$ | 9 | $20 \%$ |
| Total | 2,915 | $100 \%$ | 2,182 | $100 \%$ | -733 | $-25 \%$ |

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."
Low income=\$25,000 and below | Only reports those who responded to the question.
Spring 202I HC: II,399 | spring 2022 HC: 9,462
Source: FH IRP

- Low Income Headcount as a Percent of Disproportionately Impacted Student Groups
- Overall, low income students represent at least one-third among all Black/African American and Latinx respectively ( $40 \%$ and $33 \%$ ).
- Fewer disproportionately impacted students reported being low income from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022, reflecting an 18\%-point decline (HC: -227).

Table 21. Self-Reported Low Family Income DI Groups as a Percent of DI Group
Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | Change | \% Rate Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | Percent | HC | Percent |  |  |
| Black Low Income | 188 | 39\% | 167 | 40\% | -21 | 1\% |
| Black Total | 487 |  | 420 |  | -67 |  |
| Filipinx Low Income | 114 | 19\% | 82 | 19\% | -32 | 0\% |
| Filipinx Total | 590 |  | 424 |  | -166 |  |
| Latinx Low Income | 971 | 34\% | 797 | 33\% | -174 | -1\% |
| Latinx Total | 2,821 |  | 2,395 |  | -426 |  |

Question is stated, "What is your family income from the past year? Family income would include the income of you and family members living with you from all sources including jobs as well as other sources such as rents, welfare payments, social security, or even interest from stocks, bonds, savings."
Low income $=\$ 25,000$ and below | Only reports those who responded to the question.
Source: FH IRP

- Pell Grant
- A minority of students are awarded a Pell grant and this rate has remained consistent from Spring 2021 to Spring 2022 (7\%).
- The decline in the number of Pell grant recipients represents a loss of 107 students or a change by $-14 \%$.

Table 22. Pell Grant Status
Spring 2021 and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | Change | \% Change |
| Pell Grant Award | 787 | $7 \%$ | 680 | $7 \%$ | -107 | $-14 \%$ |
| No Pell Grant Award | 10,612 | $93 \%$ | 8,782 | $93 \%$ | $-1,830$ | $-17 \%$ |
| Total | 11,399 | $100 \%$ | 9,462 | $100 \%$ | $-1,937$ | $-17 \%$ |

[^5]- Pell Grant by Ethnicity
- Latinx student represent the largest percentage of Pell grant recipients (46\%), which demonstrates an increase from Spring 2021 (40\%).
- White students represent the second largest group of students receiving the Pell grant (19\%); however, this percentage rate has declined by 2-percentage points since last spring.

Table 23. Pell Grant Recipients by Ethnicity
Spring 202 I and Spring 2022

|  | 2021 |  | 2022 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity | HC | Percent | HC | Percent | Change | \% Change |
| Asian | 164 | $21 \%$ | 112 | $16 \%$ | -52 | $-32 \%$ |
| Black | 77 | $10 \%$ | 68 | $10 \%$ | -9 | $-12 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 35 | $4 \%$ | 22 | $3 \%$ | -13 | $-37 \%$ |
| Latinx | 313 | $40 \%$ | 315 | $46 \%$ | 2 | $1 \%$ |
| Native American | 3 | $0 \%$ | 5 | $1 \%$ | 2 | $67 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 14 | $2 \%$ | 5 | $1 \%$ | -9 | $-64 \%$ |
| White | 167 | $21 \%$ | 132 | $19 \%$ | -35 | $-21 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 14 | $2 \%$ | 21 | $3 \%$ | 7 | $50 \%$ |
| Total | 787 | $100 \%$ | 680 | $100 \%$ | -107 | $-14 \%$ |
| Pala |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Pell Award recipients represent 7\% of Spring 2021 and 7\% of Spring 2022.
Spring 202 I HC: II,399 | Spring 2022 HC: 9,462
Source: FH IRP

- Applicants
- Slightly more than half of applicants for Spring 2022 enrolled (54\%).

Table 24. Applicants and Enrollees
Spring 2022

| Students | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Applicants | 6,145 |
| Registered | 3,304 |
| \% Registered | $54 \%$ |

[^6]
[^0]:    Source: FHDA IRP

[^1]:    Source: FHDA IRP

[^2]:    Source: FHDA IRP

[^3]:    Total Spring 2021 HC=11,399 | Top 10 Spring 2021 represents $88 \%$ of total headcount
    Total Spring 2022 HC=9,462 | Top 10 Spring 2022 represents $87 \%$ of total headcount
    Source: FH IRP

[^4]:    Total Spring 2021 Latinx HC=2,821 | Top 10 Spring 2021 Latinx represents $90 \%$ of total headcount
    Total Spring 2022 Latinx HC=2,395 | Top 10 Spring 2022 Latinx represents $90 \%$ of total headcount
    Source: FH IRP

[^5]:    Source: FH IRP

[^6]:    Note: Includes students who applied and enrolled for the same quarter.
    Source: CCCApply and FHDA IRP

