



FOOTHILL COLLEGE

## PLANNING AND RESOURCE COUNCIL MINUTES

January 20, 2010

### IN ATTENDANCE:

Anderson E., Anderson M., Balducci, Barker, Bourquin, Casey, Chan, Chenoweth, Courtney, Davison, Day, Erickson, Olsen, Galope, Garrido, Hueg, McAlpin, McComas, Meade, Mostafa, Myers, Noone, Orrell, Patyk, Peck, Schreiber, Sias, Starer, Stenger, Swett, White, Wilkes.

### APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR JANUARY 6, 2010

ACTION: Approved with one change:

The March in Sacramento is March 4 not March 3

GUESTS: Ashley Oropeza will sit in for Erica Anderson for remainder of the year.  
Peter Murray, Rose Myers

Roberto Sias read a solidarity statement from Multicultural Staff Association – it will be shared with the Foothill Community

### **ITEM 1**

#### SACRAMENTO WORKSHOP - BARKER

The Governor estimates 2% growth – based on revenue stream  
.3% negative COLA – negative cola is worst than a deficit factor

PE continues to be a favorite target as well as recreational courses for cuts.  
Growth will be reserved for certain courses. We will be limited to offering certain set of classes. Basic skills, transfer and workforce ed is where they want us to put our priorities.

Schreiber – does suspensions mandate mean we don't have to hire the student/teacher ratio?

Miner – we are still required to do that – it is not a suspension, but district may request a waiver of the penalty. Districts will have to notify the State Chancellor's Office individually to request that.

Judy will attend Legislative Advocacy Workshop this weekend along with Mike Brandy and board members and other district reps. Judy will particularly talk about PE and the arts – she anticipates that repeatability in these areas may be limited.

## **ITEM 2**

### **MASTER PLAN UPDATE - MINER**

A draft will be ready for PaRC review 2.17.10

Will build on previous master plan and incorporate what has been going on in SIC

Tues. 1.26.10 all SIC planning groups and Operations committee will talk about what our next steps are around resource allocations. By end of spring quarter we will be in a place to tell departments which areas are getting FTEF in 2011. In addition will review highest priority resource request from all divisions as expressed in program reviews. Will use a variation of the resource request or ask for FTEF on-going B, one time B, non-instruction equipment. You won't have to worry what the source will be - it's about expressing needs. Form will be available next week - all materials due March 19. By end of May PaRC will have opportunity to weigh in on what you think are most responsive requests to achieving our goals. Will use last PaRC meeting in May to talk what you have seen. June 2 review vote results choose most obvious and last meeting June 16 prioritize remaining. This includes new construction review that might tap Measure C. What gets support is what the division and programs have expressed as their highest priorities.

Shelley – construction projects – are we allowed to swap out things?

Miner – yes

Garrido – what is the process we're using to decide what group gets the requests?

Miner – everybody looks at everything - each of those groups will do their own prioritization

Garrido - what do you do with the requests that don't fit?

Miner - you each have to say this is why we want to give it a priority and give it a global view and ask what is in the best interest of our students.

Barker – the SI committees are presently working on the goals. As we move forward the committee may want to take a second look at the goals based on some of the requests.

Miner – there is no right or wrong around this.

Peck will distribute a new resource request template.

## **ITEM 3**

### **FTEF COMPARISONS – MINER/BARKER**

FTEF – Year to Year Comparisons (see handout)

Info given to Board in public session

Distributed profile of divisions and what we are expecting for next year and beyond

## **ITEM 4**

### **BUDGET REDUCTIONS - MINER**

Presented the budget as we know it today

- looked at various categories and programs to meet 4.4 million dollar shortfall which is over and above positions we notified last year.

- categorical total - \$3million and is \$400K short of what is going out of categorical funds. Some of the \$400K will come from B budget/the fund 14 budget would be \$481,570 and would include B and some positions.
- the second escrow will provide a layoff date of June 30, 2011.
- escrow funding up to \$2M for FH/DA/CS
- meetings with employees will be week of January 25, 2010

There will be a Budget Townhall 1.21.10 at noon followed at 2:30 with a session on "Bumping and Layoffs – How Will it Work?" for all employees.

There will be a second opportunity for these sessions Tues. 1.26.10 at 3:00

Judy will make a request of Dorene to see if all notifications can be done at one time with regard of bumping rights.

Sias – the 7 positions are not identified – we are assuming they are all classified – are you at liberty to say what breakdown is? Admin contracts were only approved to 2010 ad so will board be approving any extensions?

Miner – yes their contracts will be due for vote per March 15 requirements.... and Judy expects that the board will probably not approve contracts for more than 1 year.

Noone – do you have numbers for Central Services and DeAnza  
DeAnza 17 to 18 and 14 part time positions

Noone - What is escrow "One"

Miner - It is layoff date of 6.30.10. Escrow 2 will be 6.30.11 (depending on state budget)

## **ITEM 5**

### **QUESTIONS/RUMORS - MINER**

Many efforts with foundations are underway to help backfill budget reductions, however, other non-profits are seeking the same kind of support.

We adjourned with a moment of silence in memory of Penny Patz, Fidel Arreola and Harry Saterfield.....