



FOOTHILL COLLEGE

PLANNING AND RESOURCE COUNCIL

MINUTES

March 3, 2010

IN ATTENDANCE:

Anderson E., Anderson M., Balducci, Barker, Bourquin, Casey, Chan, White, Courtney, Davison, Day, Erickson, Olsen, Galope, Garrido, Hueg, McAlpin, Meade, Mostafa, Myers, Noone, Olopeza, Orrell, Patyk, Peek, Schreiber-Sias, Starer, Stenger, Swett, Wilkes.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR **FEBRUARY 3, 2010:** APPROVED AS WRITTEN

GUESTS: Gigi Gallagher

ITEM 1

POSTING GUIDELINES – STENGER FOR DAVIS-VISAS

Correction to the guidelines....add “NOT”

add number of posters – 14 for inside groups/4 for outside groups

correct spelling - “Ceasar” to “Cesar”

document does not delve into the approval process for speakers – would be held to standards of board policy – seem to be broad restrictions on speech rights.

Would like clarity section II #1 – are we legally able to make that restriction

section II #5 – can we restrict people from following someone/stalking?

section IV – banners who approves content

section I #b - #4 locations – one poster per location is ambiguous

add the public notifications to give the regulations context for ASFC vs public posting

section II #1 – can we legally require English on all posters?

Swett - has to be at a designated location but not necessarily on poster

need to clarify who questions or accepts complaints

Mostafa – would change the policy as it is old. Felt students need more spaces for flyers.
Stenger – noted that the B&G Committee agreed to install boards in all hallways outside of restrooms.

Miner - Have we ever surveyed how students want to be communicated with
Hueg/White – Yes, email was #1 and #2 direct mail

Noted that 50% of bulletin boards should be designated for public use

Sias – to build a sense of community – the doc needs to specify outside/inside/student regulations. District policy needs to be clear and incorporated.

Gallagher – new banner system will also provide a method of communication to targeted groups.

Directions to B&G:

PaRC requests that the guidelines be reviewed by legal counsel, confirm that all is in alignment with Board Policy and return to Building and Grounds for their approval and then back to PaRC for review/approval.

ITEM 2

ACCREDITATION UPDATE – HUEG

Hueg report that Standards committees are nearly complete noting that one student will be included on each standard committee.

40 people attended the “kick off” meeting
President’s office website will be updated
This Friday committee chairs, including DeAnza reps, will attend training by ACCJC commission

Sias – raised concerns about commission being inflexible
Hueg/Miner - a letter was sent to commissions and is posted on the website that was written by unions and all reps.

Orrell invited all to attend the Convocation week from Friday March 12, 10:00 -12:00

Sias – where are we in relationship to our last accreditation?
Starer – we were chided for going with the themes – their concerns were on themes vs. standards rather than substantive concerns.

Miner - they are zeroing in on SLOs and planning and budgeting processes and we are very comfortable where we are in those assessments.

ITEM 3

RUMORS/QUESTIONS – MINER

March 8 Board Meeting will lay out specifics on positions district wide that are being eliminated in Escrow I. There are a limited number of positions that primarily are being placed on layoff list because of categorical cuts November last year. We had to eliminate Special Ed classes.

Escrow II – we are notifying the positions will have to go out the door 6.30.2011.

One rumor heard was that ACE people were told that those on the Escrow II list were definitely going to be laid off. District is hoping they won't have to go down that road and are buying time to look for other ways for ongoing dollars to protect those positions. District has not been negotiating salary discussions to save positions. We can't negotiate a budget now because we don't know the scope of what is coming down the road before May. Because of statutory requirements we had to give notices by March 15. Need more info from the State and then a negotiations strategy will be developed.

Starer – also heard the rumor and also speculating that if we get to Escrow II and rescind some but not all of the positions, what will the process be to determine which ones go forward and which ones are spared. Will favoritism be employed?

Miner – Those conversations would be open and transparent with all units. If there are concessions at the table, that may be the driving motivation – for “x” number of \$\$ - here are the people we could save.

ITEM 4

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Starer – suggested we should have people show PaRC the changes we have in Banner.

Davison – tenure was awarded to 10 new instructors at the board meeting Monday it will be placed on the website.

Barker – Operation Planning Committee will meet next Tuesday – is there any assignment from PaRC? Since resource requests are in there isn't anything at this time. Perhaps the discussion should be what the criteria might be if we can save a portion of the positions – what would that look like as guidelines/processes. Determination of dates and data will be very important. Lost Eloise Orrell on the committee and need replacement – also need classified reps.

Gigi – classified are being stretched thin with impending layoffs and banner training demands. Miner – perhaps we need to reassess where their attendance is most important so that positions on accreditation and PaRC committees can be covered.

Anderson – Foothill Musical Theatre is presenting Putnam County Spelling Bee – have been asked to extend run it is so popular - ends this Sunday

Mostafa – going to press conference tomorrow March 4.

We closed the meeting with a moment of silence in memory of Virginia Slayton.