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## A. Program Information

## Program Mission Statement

Please enter your mission statement here.

## Answer:

The chemistry department's mission is to provide students of all backgrounds with a strong foundation in the theoretical, experimental, and applied areas of chemistry through our integrated lecture and hands-on lab curriculum. To prepare students for successful entry into 1) a four-year university, 2) an allied health program, or 3) professional school. To support the college mission, we develop students' analytical reasoning, collaborative learning, and critical thinking skills to help become informed global citizens and achieve their future goals.

## Program Level Student Learning Outcomes

Please list the program level student learning outcomes.

## Answer:

Students completing a chemistry sequence will 1 - acquire and apply the knowledge of current theories and applications in chemistry to their field of study. 2 - develop the ability to acquire, assess, and evaluate experimental data through integrated lab exercises. 3 - be able to communicate effectively using the language of chemistry. 4 - be trained in the safe handling of chemicals and the execution of standard laboratory techniques.

Enrollment Variables and Trends

| Enrollment Trends <br> Physical Scienc, Math \& Engin - Chemistry-FD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 5-yr \%Inc |
| WUnduplicated Headcount | 2,126 | 2,145 | 2,222 | 2,134 | 1,992 | -6.3\% |
| $\underline{\sim}$ | 3,125 | 3,176 | 3,243 | 3,397 | 3,136 | 0.4\% |
| $\sim$ Sections | 119 | 122 | 123 | 125 | 113 | -5.0\% |
| WWSCH | 8,442 | 8,509 | 8,681 | 8,275 | 7,577 | -10.2\% |
| WFTES (end of term) | 569 | 574 | 585 | 558 | 510 | -10.3\% |
| $\triangle \sim$ FTEF (end of term) | 18.1 | 18.7 | 19.0 | 17.3 | 15.4 | -14.8\% |
| \| 4 Productivity (WSCH/FTEF) | 467 | 455 | 456 | 479 | 492 | 5.3\% |

## B. FTES - Enrollment Trends

1. In the data table above, what does the FTES data trend indicate?
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in FTES
$\nabla$ the data trend shows a decrease in FTES
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in FTES
Discuss the factors that would help the college understand these trends and whether there are tangible reasons for the increase or decrease.

## Answer:

The five-year trend in FTES for Chemistry is $-10.3 \%$ (borderline). This is slightly worse than the Foothill trend of $-7.3 \%$ but better than PSME of $-15.3 \%$. With few declared chemistry majors, chemistry is a service department for Biology ( $-7.0 \%$ ), Allied Health Sciences $(-25.4 \%)$, and other STEM majors ( $\approx-13 \%$ ). Our enrollments trend the same as these student populations. We recognize coordinated scheduling with biology and physics may be a problem. As of summer 2020, all the chemistry classes except organic are running at full capacity. This supports the idea that hybrid offerings should increase our FTES.
2. Looking at the data trend, has the faculty/staff discussed proposed actions to stabilize/increase FTES?
$\boxed{\square}$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for stabilizing/increasing the FTES.

## Answer:

We are making an effort to increase the population of middle college (high school) students by offering CHEM 25 onsite at Eastside Preparatory High School (East Palo Alto) during the summer and CHEM 25/1A to the high school level students of the Khan Lab School (Mountain View) during the academic year. We do not know if these students continue at Foothill. Coordinated scheduling with biology and physics may help. Hybrid offerings, online supplemental instruction, and alternative course delivery designs are possibilities to increase FTES.

## C. Sections - Enrollment Trends

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about the number of sections offered?
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in sections
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in sections
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in sections
If the data trend shows an increase or decrease in sections, explain why the number of sections increased or decreased.

## Answer:

The 2018-19 section count of 113 is overstated compared to the 2014-15 count of 119 . We decoupled the organic lecture from the lab during this time interval, causing the number of organic sections to increase by the number of lectures taught during the year. There are 8 additional organic sections (the decoupled lectures) in the 2018-19 count of 113 without any increase in FTES. Without decoupling, the 2018-19 section count would be 105, an $-11.8 \%$ change, consistent with the decline ( $-10.3 \%$ ) in FTES.

If the data indicates an increase in sections with a decrease in FTES, explain why the number of sections increased while FTES decreased.

## Answer:

NA

## D. Productivity - Enrollment Trends

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about the productivity number?
$\boxtimes$ the data trend shows the productivity number increased
$\square$ the data trend shows the productivity number decreased
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the productivity number

If the data trend shows an increase or decrease in productivity, explain why the productivity increased or decreased.

## Answer:

The department's productivity has increased by $5.3 \%$. Our productivity tracks with the Administration's enrollment/budget goals. The three year period covering 2014-15 to 2016-17 shows a decrease in productivity, consistent with the Administration's emphasis to increase sections/enrollment. In the last two academic years, productivity has increased by $7.9 \%$, consistent with the Administration's focus to reduce costs by increasing productivity. Canceling low enrollment labs (<20 students) is the reason productivity increased. We now offer more double lecture sections to increase productivity and are building a more student-center schedule.
2. Does the data trend suggest changes are necessary to improve productivity?
$\square$ yes
$\boxed{\square}$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for stabilizing/increasing the productivity number.

## Answer:

NA

## E. Enrollment by Student Demographics

## Enrollment Distribution

by Gender

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| Female | 1,699 | 54\% | 1,741 | 55\% | 1,856 | 57\% | 1,954 | 58\% | 1,820 | 58\% |
| Male | 1,406 | 45\% | 1,422 | 45\% | 1,374 | 42\% | 1,427 | 42\% | 1,295 | 41\% |
| Not Reported | 20 | 1\% | 13 | 0\% | 13 | 0\% | 16 | 0\% | 21 | 1\% |
| Total | 3,125 | 100\% | 3,176 | 100\% | 3,243 | 100\% | 3,397 | 100\% | 3,136 | 100\% |

by Ethnicity

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| African <br> American | 90 | 3\% | 80 | 3\% | 94 | 3\% | 114 | 3\% | 128 | 4\% |
| Asian | 1,129 | 36\% | 1,153 | 36\% | 1,258 | 39\% | 1,401 | 41\% | 1,236 | 39\% |
| Filipinx | 199 | 6\% | 236 | 7\% | 228 | 7\% | 253 | 7\% | 213 | 7\% |
| Latinx | 559 | 18\% | 639 | 20\% | 700 | 22\% | 713 | 21\% | 778 | 25\% |
| Native <br> American | 15 | 0\% | 15 | 0\% | 15 | 0\% | 23 | 1\% | 6 | 0\% |
| Pacific Islander | 34 | 1\% | 29 | 1\% | 44 | 1\% | 32 | 1\% | 32 | 1\% |
| White | 768 | 25\% | 763 | 24\% | 708 | 22\% | 806 | 24\% | 708 | 23\% |
| Decline to State | 331 | 11\% | 261 | 8\% | 196 | 6\% | 55 | 2\% | 35 | 1\% |
| Total | 3,125 | 100\% | 3,176 | 100\% | 3,243 | 100\% | 3,397 | 100\% | 3,136 | 100\% |

by Age


## by Education Level

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| Bachelor or higher | 398 | 13\% | 356 | 11\% | 393 | 12\% | 461 | 14\% | 382 | 12\% |
| Associate | 93 | 3\% | 94 | 3\% | 108 | 3\% | 121 | 4\% | 96 | 3\% |
| HS/Equivalent | 2,408 | 77\% | 2,560 | 81\% | 2,584 | 80\% | 2,638 | 78\% | 2,504 | 80\% |
| All Other | 226 | 7\% | 166 | 5\% | 158 | 5\% | 177 | 5\% | 154 | 5\% |

## a. Enrollment by Gender

The following questions concern enrollment distribution by gender.

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about program enrollment by gender?

Females
$\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows an increase in the female enrollment rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the female enrollment rates
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the female enrollment rates
Males
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the male enrollment rates
$\checkmark$ the data trend shows a decrease in the male enrollment rates
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the male enrollment rates
If the data trend shows a change in male or female enrollment, explain why there was a change.

## Answer:

The female enrollment in 2018-19 is up by $7.1 \%$ compared to 2014-15, while male enrollment has decreased by $-7.9 \%$. We believe the increased female enrollment corresponds with increased enrollments in our 30A/30B courses (allied health) that are predominately female students. Why the male student count is down maybe from the boom in the local economy over this time period, where males are more apt to work than go to school.
2. Does your program differ in the percentage of males to females, in this most recent year, compared to the College? (College 2018-19 = 52\% Female, 48\% Male)
$\square$ yes
$\boxed{\square}$ no
If the data indicates a lack of gender parity in your program as compared to the college percentages, what is the source of that disparity and what proposed/planned actions is the program taking to achieve parity?

## Answer:

The difference in gender parity is less than or equal to $10 \%$. We see this as not a significant difference between the genders, nor is this a substantial deviation from the college averages. No action required.

## Data Table for Enrollment by Gender of Declared Majors

https://foothill.edu//programreview/prg-rev-docs/fh-programreview2019_20enroll-by-gender-and-declared-major.pdf (https://foothill.edu//programreview/prg-rev-docs/fh-programreview2019_20enroll-by-gender-and-declared-major.pdf)

Click the link to view Enrollment by Gender of Declared Majors data table and respond to the questions below.
3. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about enrollment (headcount) by gender of declared majors in the program?

## Females

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the female enrollment of the declared major $\checkmark$ the data trend shows a decrease in the female enrollment of the declared major $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the female enrollment of the declared major

## Males

$\checkmark$ the data trend shows an increase in the male enrollment of the declared major
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the male enrollment of the declared major
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the male enrollment of the declared major
b. Enrollment by Ethnicity

1. In the data table above, what do the data trends indicate about program enrollment by ethnicity?

## African American

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the African Americans enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the African Americans enrollment rates $\checkmark$ the data trend shows no change in the African Americans enrollment rates

## Asian

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Asian enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Asian enrollment rates $\nabla$ the data trend shows no change in the Asian enrollment rates

Filipinx
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Filipinx enrollment rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Filipinx enrollment rates
$\nabla$ the data trend shows no change in the Filipinx enrollment rates

## Latinx

$\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows an increase in the Latinx enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Latinx enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the Latinx enrollment rates

## Native American

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Native American enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Native American enrollment rates $\boxed{\square}$ the data trend shows no change in the Native American enrollment rates

## Pacific Islander

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Pacific Islander enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Pacific Islander enrollment rates $\checkmark$ the data trend shows no change in the Pacific Islander enrollment rates
White
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the White enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the White enrollment rates $\checkmark$ the data trend shows no change in the White enrollment rates

Decline to State
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Decline to State enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Decline to State enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the Decline to State enrollment rates
2. Does your program differ in enrollment distribution among ethnic groups, in this most recent year, compared to the College enrollment by ethnic group? (College 2018-19 = 5\% African American, 30\% Asian, 5\% Filipinx, 26\% Latinx, 0\% Native American, 1\% Pacific Islander, 29\% White, 4\% Decline to State)
$\square$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, looking at the ethnic groups above, explain changes identified over the past five years for each ethnic group (address each ethnic group by bullet point).


#### Abstract

Answer:

Only small increases/decreases in enrollment percentages are observed in each group except Latinx (+7\%) and decline to state (-10\%). The percentages below are population differences between Chemistry and the College. African American: $-1 \%$ No significant difference. Up $42 \%$ in CHEM. Asian: $+9 \%$ Some difference. Trend up $9.5 \%$ in CHEM Filipinx: $+1 \%$ No significant difference. Trend up $7.0 \%$ in CHEM Latinx: $-1 \%$ No significant difference. Trend up 39\% in CHEM Native American: 0\% No difference. Trend down -60\% in CHEM Pacific Islander: 0\% No difference. Trend down $-5.9 \%$ in CHEM White: -6\% Some difference. trend down -7.8\% in CHEM Decline to State: $-3 \%$ Some difference. Trend down $-89 \%$ in CHEM Summary of differences: Chemistry has a higher population of Asian students and fewer White and Decline to State compared to the College population. Aside from this difference, the department enrollments mirror the College population as a whole. We have no other data to support Chemistry Enrollment Trends: Total enrollment was up by only $+0.3 \%$ over 4-yrs. The 4-year trends show an increase in African American (+42\%), Latinx (+39\%), and Asian (+9.5\%) populations at the expense of Decline to State ( $-89 \%$ ). Other ethnic group percentages have held relatively constant with the White showing a decrease of $-7.8 \%$ compared to the college average.


3. Do the data trends suggest programmatic actions are necessary to address disparities in enrollment by ethnicity, including low enrollment within a particular group?

If yes, describe the proposed actions for addressing disparities in enrollment by ethnic group within the program.

## Answer:

N/A

## F. Student Course Success

## Course Success Rates by Unit

| Course Success |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Physical Scienc, Math \& Engin - Chemistry-FD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Success | 2,071 | 66\% | 2,104 | 66\% | 2,233 | 69\% | 2,438 | 72\% | 2,216 | 71\% |
| Non Success | 547 | 18\% | 565 | 18\% | 497 | 15\% | 511 | 15\% | 502 | 16\% |
| Withdrew | 506 | 16\% | 507 | 16\% | 513 | 16\% | 448 | 13\% | 418 | 13\% |
| Total | 3,124 | 100\% | 3,176 | 100\% | 3,243 | 100\% | 3,397 | 100\% | 3,136 | 100\% |

Course Success for African American, Latinx, and Filipinx Students

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Success | 445 | $52 \%$ | 485 | $51 \%$ | 597 | $58 \%$ | 634 | $59 \%$ | 694 | $62 \%$ |
| Non Success | 209 | $25 \%$ | 268 | $28 \%$ | 237 | $23 \%$ | 253 | $23 \%$ | 245 | $22 \%$ |
| Withdrew | 194 | $23 \%$ | 202 | $21 \%$ | 188 | $18 \%$ | 193 | $18 \%$ | 180 | $16 \%$ |
| Total | 848 | $100 \%$ | 955 | $100 \%$ | 1,022 | $100 \%$ | 1,080 | $100 \%$ | 1,119 | $100 \%$ |

## Course Success for Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State Students

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Success | 1,626 | $71 \%$ | 1,619 | $73 \%$ | 1,636 | $74 \%$ | 1,804 | $78 \%$ | 1,522 | $75 \%$ |
| Non Success | 338 | $15 \%$ | 297 | $13 \%$ | 260 | $12 \%$ | 258 | $11 \%$ | 257 | $13 \%$ |
| Withdrew | 312 | $14 \%$ | 305 | $14 \%$ | 325 | $15 \%$ | 255 | $11 \%$ | 238 | $12 \%$ |
| Total | 2,276 | $100 \%$ | 2,221 | $100 \%$ | 2,221 | $100 \%$ | 2,317 | $100 \%$ | 2,017 | $100 \%$ |

Some courses may continue to be listed but no longer have data due to renumbering or because the course was not offered in the past five years.
Printed on 7/17/2020

## a. Student Course Success

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about overall course success?
$\nabla$ the data trend shows an increase in the students' course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the students' course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the students' course success percentage
If the data trend shows an increase, decrease, or no change in students' course success percentage, explain what programmatic factors led to such a trend.

## Answer:

Overall course success has increased by 5\% over the last four years. This 5\% increase is from a decrease in non-success of $-2 \%$ and withdraws of $-3 \%$. We have not identified any program factors that may be responsible for this $5 \%$ increase in success. This slight increase may be from normal year-to-year statistical fluctuations.
2. Do the data suggest changes are necessary to improve student course success?
$\boxed{\square}$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for stabilizing/increasing the student's course success percentages.

## Answer:

Compared to the $81 \%$ success rate at Foothill, Chemistry's rate of $71 \%$ looks deficient. However, chemistry is no different than PSME courses with a similar difficulty level (Computer Science: 71\%, Engineering: 69\% and Mathematics: 66\%). We want overall success rates in Chemistry to be higher. Our focus will be CHEM 1A (61\%), CHEM 1B (64\%), and CHEM 25 ( $66 \%$ ) once we get back into the classroom and can review our curriculum and pedagogy. We believe our course structure and pedagogy will be significantly different post-COVID-19.

## b. Student Course Success by Student Groups

1. In the data table above, what is the observed trend for course success rates for African American, Filipinx, and Latinx student groups?
$\boxed{\sigma}$ the data trend shows an increase in the course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the course success percentage
2. In the data table above, what is the observed trend for course success rates for Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State student groups?
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the course success percentage
3. In the data table above, is there a course success gap between African-American, Latinx, Filipinx student groups and Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, Decline to State student groups?
$\checkmark$ yes
$\square$ no
If the data trend shows an increase or decrease in course success gap, explain why the course success gap increased or decreased.

## Answer:

The success rate for the African American, Filipinx, and Latinx student groups (G1) has increased by $+10 \%$ over the last five years. A significant increase and meets the standard. Over the same period the Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State (G2) increased by $+4 \%$. We are not sure why success rates have increased for either group over this period, but the department has engaged in a more active learning style. Success Rate Percentages 2018-2019 G1: College (73\%), Chemistry (62\%). A Gap of $-11 \%$. G2: College (85\%), Chemistry (75\%). A Gap of -10\%.
4. Does the data suggest that changes are necessary to decrease student course success gap between AfricanAmerican, Latinx, Filipinx student groups and Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State student groups?
$\nabla$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, what actions are program faculty and staff engaged in to decrease the course success gap between African-American, Latinx, and Filipinx student groups and Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State student groups?

## Answer:

Each group underperforms in chemistry by 10\%. Expected since chemistry is considered challenging. Only 20\% of graduating HS seniors are STEM ready, according to The Condition of College \& Career Readiness 2019 study. Since Chemistry is no different than the College with a $-10 \%$ gap between these two groups, any changes that have proven successful in closing this college-wide gap should apply to chemistry. The Chemistry Department has not identified the primary reason for this gap. We are exploring workshops, new curriculum, online office hours, embedded tutors, learning communities, and peer tutoring as possible solutions.

## G. Student Course Success by Demographics

## a. Student Course Success by Gender

The following questions concern student success rates by gender.

# Course Success Rates by Group 

Success Rates by Gender

Physical Scienc, Math \& Engin - Chemistry-FD

|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 1,273 | 70\% | 299 | 16\% | 248 | 14\% | 1,820 | 100\% |
| Male | 929 | 72\% | 201 | 16\% | 165 | 13\% | 1,295 | 100\% |
| Not Reported | 14 | 67\% | 2 | 10\% | 5 | 24\% | 21 | 100\% |
| All | 2,216 | 71\% | 502 | 16\% | 418 | 13\% | 3,136 | 100\% |


|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent |  | Grades |  | Percent | Grades | Percent |
|  | Grades | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1,396 | $71 \%$ | 287 | $15 \%$ | 271 | $14 \%$ | 1,954 | $100 \%$ |
| Male | 1,031 | $72 \%$ | 221 | $15 \%$ | 175 | $12 \%$ | 1,427 | $100 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 11 | $69 \%$ | 3 | $19 \%$ | 2 | $13 \%$ | 16 | $100 \%$ |
| All | 2,438 | $72 \%$ | 511 | $15 \%$ | 448 | $13 \%$ | 3,397 | $100 \%$ |

2016-17

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Female | 1,257 | $68 \%$ | 297 | $16 \%$ | 302 | $16 \%$ | 1,856 | $100 \%$ |
| Male | 965 | $70 \%$ | 199 | $14 \%$ | 210 | $15 \%$ | 1,374 | $100 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 11 | $85 \%$ | 1 | $8 \%$ | 1 | $8 \%$ | 13 | $100 \%$ |
| All | 2,233 | $69 \%$ | 497 | $15 \%$ | 513 | $16 \%$ | 3,243 | $100 \%$ |

2015-16

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent |  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Female | 1,121 | $64 \%$ | 328 | $19 \%$ | 292 | $17 \%$ | 1,741 | $100 \%$ |  |
| Male | 973 | $68 \%$ | 235 | $17 \%$ | 214 | $15 \%$ | 1,422 | $100 \%$ |  |
| Not Reported | 10 | $77 \%$ | 2 | $15 \%$ | 1 | $8 \%$ | 13 | $100 \%$ |  |
| All | 2,104 | $66 \%$ | 565 | $18 \%$ | 507 | $16 \%$ | 3,176 | $100 \%$ |  |

2014-15
Success Non Success Withdrew Total

|  | 1,119 | $66 \%$ | 284 | $17 \%$ | 295 | $17 \%$ | 1,698 | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | 940 | $67 \%$ | 259 | $18 \%$ | 207 | $15 \%$ | 1,406 |
| Male | 12 | $60 \%$ | 4 | $20 \%$ | 4 | $20 \%$ | 20 | $100 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 2,071 | $66 \%$ | 547 | $18 \%$ | 506 | $16 \%$ | 3,124 | $100 \%$ |
| All |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Success Rates by Age
Physical Scienc, Math \& Engin - Chemistry-FD

2018-19
Success Non Success Withdrew Total
Grades Percent Grades Percent Grades Percent Grades Percent

| 19 or less | 478 | $73 \%$ | 102 | $16 \%$ | 73 | $11 \%$ | 653 | $100 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 - 2 4}$ | 1,099 | $69 \%$ | 287 | $18 \%$ | 199 | $13 \%$ | 1,585 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{2 5 - 3 9}$ | 593 | $71 \%$ | 107 | $13 \%$ | 139 | $17 \%$ | 839 | $100 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0 +}$ | 46 | $78 \%$ | 6 | $10 \%$ | 7 | $12 \%$ | 59 | $100 \%$ |
| All | 2,216 | $71 \%$ | 502 | $16 \%$ | 418 | $13 \%$ | 3,136 | $100 \%$ |

2017-18

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 507 | 80\% | 77 | 12\% | 49 | 8\% | 633 | 100\% |
| 20-24 | 1,197 | 68\% | 311 | 18\% | 259 | 15\% | 1,767 | 100\% |
| 25-39 | 656 | 73\% | 120 | 13\% | 127 | 14\% | 903 | 100\% |
| 40 + | 78 | 83\% | 3 | 3\% | 13 | 14\% | 94 | 100\% |
| All | 2,438 | 72\% | 511 | 15\% | 448 | 13\% | 3,397 | 100\% |

2016-17

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 543 | 76\% | 84 | 12\% | 88 | 12\% | 715 | 100\% |
| 20-24 | 1,143 | 66\% | 313 | 18\% | 276 | 16\% | 1,732 | 100\% |
| 25-39 | 496 | 69\% | 95 | 13\% | 131 | 18\% | 722 | 100\% |
| 40 + | 51 | 69\% | 5 | 7\% | 18 | 24\% | 74 | 100\% |
| All | 2,233 | 69\% | 497 | 15\% | 513 | 16\% | 3,243 | 100\% |

2015-16

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 535 | 72\% | 106 | 14\% | 102 | 14\% | 743 | 100\% |
| 20-24 | 1,039 | 64\% | 336 | 21\% | 246 | 15\% | 1,621 | 100\% |
| 25-39 | 480 | 65\% | 115 | 15\% | 148 | 20\% | 743 | 100\% |
| 40 + | 50 | 72\% | 8 | 12\% | 11 | 16\% | 69 | 100\% |
| All | 2,104 | 66\% | 565 | 18\% | 507 | 16\% | 3,176 | 100\% |

2014-15

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 522 | 74\% | 104 | 15\% | 76 | 11\% | 702 | 100\% |
| 20-24 | 1,045 | 62\% | 352 | 21\% | 280 | 17\% | 1,677 | 100\% |
| 25-39 | 460 | 68\% | 83 | 12\% | 130 | 19\% | 673 | 100\% |
| 40 + | 44 | 61\% | 8 | 11\% | 20 | 28\% | 72 | 100\% |
| All | 2,071 | 66\% | 547 | 18\% | 506 | 16\% | 3,124 | 100\% |

Success Rates by Ethnicity
Physical Scienc, Math \& Engin - Chemistry-FD

2018-19

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 75 | 59\% | 28 | 22\% | 25 | 20\% | 128 | 100\% |
| Asian | 960 | 78\% | 140 | 11\% | 136 | 11\% | 1,236 | 100\% |
| Filipinx | 153 | 72\% | 36 | 17\% | 24 | 11\% | 213 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 466 | 60\% | 181 | 23\% | 131 | 17\% | 778 | 100\% |
| Native American | 2 | 33\% | 2 | 33\% | 2 | 33\% | 6 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 16 | 50\% | 7 | 22\% | 9 | 28\% | 32 | 100\% |
| White | 521 | 74\% | 101 | 14\% | 86 | 12\% | 708 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 23 | 66\% | 7 | 20\% | 5 | 14\% | 35 | 100\% |
| All | 2,216 | 71\% | 502 | 16\% | 418 | 13\% | 3,136 | 100\% |

2017-18

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 68 | 60\% | 22 | 19\% | 24 | 21\% | 114 | 100\% |
| Asian | 1,132 | 81\% | 147 | 10\% | 122 | 9\% | 1,401 | 100\% |
| Filipinx | 164 | 65\% | 45 | 18\% | 44 | 17\% | 253 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 402 | 56\% | 186 | 26\% | 125 | 18\% | 713 | 100\% |
| Native American | 15 | 65\% | 5 | 22\% | 3 | 13\% | 23 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 16 | 50\% | 4 | 13\% | 12 | 38\% | 32 | 100\% |
| White | 595 | 74\% | 95 | 12\% | 116 | 14\% | 806 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 46 | 84\% | 7 | 13\% | 2 | 4\% | 55 | 100\% |
| All | 2,438 | 72\% | 511 | 15\% | 448 | 13\% | 3,397 | 100\% |

2016-17

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 48 | 51\% | 18 | 19\% | 28 | 30\% | 94 | 100\% |
| Asian | 933 | 74\% | 142 | 11\% | 183 | 15\% | 1,258 | 100\% |
| Filipinx | 145 | 64\% | 46 | 20\% | 37 | 16\% | 228 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 404 | 58\% | 173 | 25\% | 123 | 18\% | 700 | 100\% |
| Native American | 8 | 53\% | 3 | 20\% | 4 | 27\% | 15 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 25 | 57\% | 10 | 23\% | 9 | 20\% | 44 | 100\% |
| White | 510 | 72\% | 85 | 12\% | 113 | 16\% | 708 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 160 | 82\% | 20 | 10\% | 16 | 8\% | 196 | 100\% |
| All | 2,233 | 69\% | 497 | 15\% | 513 | 16\% | 3,243 | 100\% |

2015-16
Success Non Success Withdrew Total

|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African American | 36 | 45\% | 27 | 34\% | 17 | 21\% | 80 | 100\% |


| Asian | 827 | 72\% | 160 | 14\% | 166 | 14\% | 1,153 | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Filipinx | 143 | 61\% | 61 | 26\% | 32 | 14\% | 236 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 306 | 48\% | 180 | 28\% | 153 | 24\% | 639 | 100\% |
| Native American | 11 | 73\% | 0 | 0\% | 4 | 27\% | 15 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 16 | 55\% | 6 | 21\% | 7 | 24\% | 29 | 100\% |
| White | 544 | 71\% | 102 | 13\% | 117 | 15\% | 763 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 221 | 85\% | 29 | 11\% | 11 | 4\% | 261 | 100\% |
| All | 2,104 | 66\% | 565 | 18\% | 507 | 16\% | 3,176 | 100\% |
|  | 2014-15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 51 | 57\% | 17 | 19\% | 22 | 24\% | 90 | 100\% |
| Asian | 791 | 70\% | 181 | 16\% | 157 | 14\% | 1,129 | 100\% |
| Filipinx | 116 | 58\% | 46 | 23\% | 37 | 19\% | 199 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 278 | 50\% | 146 | 26\% | 135 | 24\% | 559 | 100\% |
| Native American | 7 | 47\% | 4 | 27\% | 4 | 27\% | 15 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 15 | 44\% | 12 | 35\% | 7 | 21\% | 34 | 100\% |
| White | 544 | 71\% | 96 | 13\% | 127 | 17\% | 767 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 269 | 81\% | 45 | 14\% | 17 | 5\% | 331 | 100\% |
| All | 2,071 | 66\% | 547 | 18\% | 506 | 16\% | 3,124 | 100\% |

Some courses may continue to be listed but no longer have data due to renumbering or because the course was not offered in the past five years.
Printed on 7/17/2020

1. In the data table above, what does the data indicate about program course success by gender?

Females
$\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows an increase in the female course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the female course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the female course success rates
Males
$\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows an increase in the male course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the male course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the male course success rates
If the data trend shows an increase or decrease in the male or female student course success percentages, explain why the percentage increased or decreased for both.

## Answer:

The female and male four-year increases are mostly the same and mirror our average rise in success rates over the same period. This increase is small, and overall success rates are still $\approx-10 \%$ below the college averages. The chemistry department can not clearly identify the reasons for these increases. If this trend continues, we will match the college averages in 6 years.
2. Do the data suggest changes are necessary to improve female or male student course success percentage rates?
$\square$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, describe proposed actions to stabilize/increase the course success rates for either male or female.

## Answer

Success rates are essentially identical between males and females, but still, about $-10 \%$ below the college average. As stated above in F.a \#2 Student Course Success, we would like to increase success rates across the board for all groups. How to maintain and accelerate this success increase has yet to be determined.

## b. Student Course Success by Ethnicity

These questions concern the course success rates of students by ethnicity.

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about program student course success by ethnicity?

## African Americans

the data trend shows an increase in the African Americans course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the African Americans course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the African Americans course success rates

## Asian

$\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows an increase in the Asian course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Asian course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the Asian course success rates
Filipinx
$\checkmark$ the data trend shows an increase in the Filipinx course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Filipinx course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the Filipinx course success rates

## Latinx

$\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows an increase in the Latinx course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Latinx course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the Latinx course success rates

## Native American

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Native American course success rates $\boxed{\square}$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Native American course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the Native American course success rates

Pacific Islander
$\boxed{\square}$ the data trend shows an increase in the Pacific Islander course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Pacific Islander course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the Pacific Islander course success rates

White
$\sqrt{ }$ the data trend shows an increase in the White course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the White course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the White course success rates

## Decline to State

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Decline to State course success rates the data trend shows a decrease in the Decline to State course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the Decline to State course success rates

If the data trend shows a decrease in any of the student ethnic groups' course success rates, explain why the percentage decreased for each (address each ethnic group by bullet point).

## Answer:

Except for the Native American group, all chemistry success rates trend positive. Percentages below are differences from the CHEM four-year trend and the College population four-year trend. A (+) percentage indicates a better success rate trend in CHEM than the College. African American: $+0.7 \%$ Asian: $+1.4 \%$ Filipinx: $+2.5 \%$ Latinx: $+2.2 \%$ Native American: $-3.9 \%$ (The smallest population of students, not statistically relevant.) Pacific Islander: $-0.5 \%$ White: $+0.1 \%$ Decline to State: $-0.24 \%$ Summary of differences: As a whole, the trend in success rates for the larger populations of targeted ethnic groups is slightly better than the overall College population in the past four years.
2. Do the data indicate a gap in course success for any of the ethnic groups as compared to other groups?
$\square$ yes
$\boxed{\square}$ no

If yes, describe the reasons for the gap in course success.

## Answer:

I am assuming question 2 should read: Do the data indicate a gap in course success TRENDS for any of the ethnic groups as compared to other groups? The absolute gaps in course success (not trends) are discussed in section F.b. Most chemistry success trends are positive, with no significant differences between groups.
3. Do the data suggest that changes are necessary to improve program course success equality?
$\square$ yes
$\boxed{\square}$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for stabilizing/improving the course success by ethnicity.

## Answer:

NA

Use this opportunity to provide feedback on the template or address a topic that was not previously discussed.

## Answer:

Primarily: Most of the analysis with the corresponding rubric evaluation could have been generated by a computer and summarized. Also, 1) The rubric provided in pdf format was redundant 2) The rubrics should be in the TEMPLATE after each prompt. 3) The TEMPLATE should be available to all faculty, not just the writers. 4) Some of the TEMPLATE analysis was redundant. 5) Proposing ACTION PLANS this early in the review process is problematic. 6) The pdf file with the Dean's Feedback was challenging to follow. All feedback should be from a verbal discussion with the dean/reader. 7) Why 100 words?

This form is completed and ready for acceptance.

