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## A. Program Information

## Program Mission Statement

Please enter your mission statement here.

## Answer:

Geography provides an integrated perspective on social, political, economic, and physical phenomena occurring over space. The discipline of Geography bridges the physical and social sciences. Geography fulfills transfer requirements in both lab science and social science for four-year schools and emphasizes themes of the natural and built environment, human caused change to the natural world, and sustainability. Geography challenges students to grow into informed global citizens equipped with the tools to examine and assess the impacts of their actions.

## Program Level Student Learning Outcomes

Please list the program level student learning outcomes.

## Answer:

1. Interpret spatially distributed data and draw valid conclusions by using maps, graphs and/or Geographic Information Systems (GIS) 2. Evaluate core concepts in cultural and physical geography and apply them to contemporary events and issues to interpret events and phenomena from a geographic perspective

## Enrollment Variables and Trends

| Enrollment Trends <br> Business \& Social Sciences - Geography-FH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 5-yr \%Inc |
| $\checkmark$ Unduplicated Headcount | 963 | 911 | 833 | 896 | 784 | -18.6\% |
| $\xrightarrow[\sim]{\sim}$ Census Enrollment | 1,039 | 995 | 896 | 949 | 829 | -20.2\% |
| - Sections | 34 | 33 | 30 | 27 | 24 | -29.4\% |
| -WWSCH | 2,069 | 1,964 | 1,766 | 1,945 | 1,775 | -14.2\% |
| WFTES (end of term) | 141 | 134 | 121 | 133 | 121 | -14.1\% |
| $\underline{\sim} \sim$ FTEF (end of term) | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.1 | 3.8 | 3.5 | -23.8\% |
| \| $\sim$ Productivity (WSCH/FTEF) | 450 | 430 | 435 | 512 | 507 | 12.6\% |

Printed on 6/16/2020
B. FTES - Enrollment Trends

1. In the data table above, what does the FTES data trend indicate?
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in FTES
$\boxed{\square}$ the data trend shows a decrease in FTES
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in FTES
Discuss the factors that would help the college understand these trends and whether there are tangible reasons for the increase or decrease.

## Answer:

Census enrollment in the Geography department has declined $20 \%$ from 1039 to 829 . This is directly related to a drastic reduction in the number of sections offered ( 10 fewer sections over five years, or a $30 \%$ reduction). The productivity over this time period has increased by $12 \%$. The most popular class, GEOG01 has a high ( $87 \%-92 \%$ ) census fill rate. However it is a lab science and has a low seat count, thus a low maximum productivity, so its offerings have been limited. On-campus offerings of GEOG02 and GEOG10 have struggled to fill, mirroring the college trend. Online enrollment remains strong.
2. Looking at the data trend, has the faculty/staff discussed proposed actions to stabilize/increase FTES? $\boxed{\square}$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for stabilizing/increasing the FTES.

## Answer:

Demand for on-campus offerings of large lecture classes (GEOG02, 05, 10) is soft, mirroring the college. To draw students to these classes, faculty will present to counselors about on-campus offerings. To increase online census FTES, faculty are working with the Foothill Online Learning office to build out high quality courses by participating in Peer Online Course Review (POCR) and hold online course quality reviews with adjunct and full time faculty. Faculty will also advocate to increase the offerings of Physical Geography which is in high demand because it meets lab science requirements, however this decision is outside of department control.

## C. Sections - Enrollment Trends

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about the number of sections offered?
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in sections
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in sections
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in sections
If the data trend shows an increase or decrease in sections, explain why the number of sections increased or decreased.

## Answer:

The number of sections has decreased sharply primarily due to the cut in offerings of classes with lower productivity. This includes oncampus offerings of Human and World Regional Geography (GEOG02 and 10) which have a high seat count (50) but have struggled to fill to capacity in face-to-face offerings and thus have not been scheduled (a decrease from 5 annual sections to 1 for GEOG02, 4 sections to 2 for GEOG10). The offerings of Physical Geography have been reduced because of a low maximum productivity that falls short of the college target, despite student demand for this lab science course.

If the data indicates an increase in sections with a decrease in FTES, explain why the number of sections increased while FTES decreased.

## Answer:

In the case of Geography, the number of sections has decreased with a commensurate decline in the number of FTES

## D. Productivity - Enrollment Trends

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about the productivity number?
$\checkmark$ the data trend shows the productivity number increased
$\square$ the data trend shows the productivity number decreased
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the productivity number
If the data trend shows an increase or decrease in productivity, explain why the productivity increased or decreased.

## Answer:

The productivity has increased by $12 \%$ over the five year period at the expense of the on-campus presence of the Geography program ( $25 \%$ of classes have an on-campus presence). The on-campus classes tend to have a lower fill rate and so offerings have been reduced. In addition, by limiting the number of lower maximum productivity classes (Physical Geography) both on campus and online, the overall productivity has risen. The maximum productivity of GEOG01 is 527 , with a full (35) enrollment at census. Realistic attrition yields an enrollment of 31-32 at census (88-91\% fill rate) which gives a productivity of 467-482.
2. Does the data trend suggest changes are necessary to improve productivity?
$\square$ yes
$\checkmark$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for stabilizing/increasing the productivity number.

## Answer:

Productivity numbers have risen overall for the program at the expense of the program's presence on campus and the offerings of a lab science course that is preferred by many students who need a physical lab science to complete their degree, but are less comfortable with physics or chemistry classes. The program does not view this rise in productivity as a positive outcome for serving students and facilitating degree completion. Human, Economic and World Regional Geography no longer have an on-campus presence. It is a significant concern that course scheduling has chased productivity at the expense of equity and success.

## E. Enrollment by Student Demographics

 Enrollment Distributionby Gender

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| Female | 544 | 52\% | 545 | 55\% | 502 | 56\% | 537 | 57\% | 448 | 54\% |
| Male | 488 | 47\% | 440 | 44\% | 386 | 43\% | 409 | 43\% | 372 | 45\% |
| Not Reported | 7 | 1\% | 10 | 1\% | 8 | 1\% | 3 | 0\% | 9 | 1\% |
| Total | 1,039 | 100\% | 995 | 100\% | 896 | 100\% | 949 | 100\% | 829 | 100\% |

by Ethnicity

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| African American | 75 | 7\% | 75 | 8\% | 59 | 7\% | 67 | 7\% | 53 | 6\% |
| Asian | 227 | 22\% | 253 | 25\% | 206 | 23\% | 235 | 25\% | 211 | 25\% |
| Filipinx | 34 | 3\% | 43 | 4\% | 42 | 5\% | 41 | 4\% | 42 | 5\% |
| Latinx | 217 | 21\% | 193 | 19\% | 192 | 21\% | 231 | 24\% | 214 | 26\% |
| Native American | 12 | 1\% | 3 | 0\% | 8 | 1\% | 9 | 1\% | 5 | 1\% |
| Pacific Islander | 14 | 1\% | 15 | 2\% | 12 | 1\% | 17 | 2\% | 9 | 1\% |
| White | 342 | 33\% | 329 | 33\% | 325 | 36\% | 320 | 34\% | 278 | 34\% |
| Decline to State | 118 | 11\% | 84 | 8\% | 52 | 6\% | 29 | 3\% | 17 | 2\% |
| Total | 1,039 | 100\% | 995 | 100\% | 896 | 100\% | 949 | 100\% | 829 | 100\% |

by Age

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| 19 or less | 132 | 13\% | 157 | 16\% | 119 | 13\% | 128 | 13\% | 130 | 16\% |
| 20-24 | 595 | 57\% | 542 | 54\% | 532 | 59\% | 590 | 62\% | 503 | 61\% |
| 25-39 | 253 | 24\% | 243 | 24\% | 196 | 22\% | 188 | 20\% | 147 | 18\% |
| 40 + | 59 | 6\% | 53 | 5\% | 49 | 5\% | 43 | 5\% | 49 | 6\% |
| Total | 1,039 | 100\% | 995 | 100\% | 896 | 100\% | 949 | 100\% | 829 | 100\% |

## by Education Level

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent | Enr | Percent |
| Bachelor or higher | 36 | 3\% | 41 | 4\% | 41 | 5\% | 41 | 4\% | 20 | 2\% |
| Associate | 28 | 3\% | 20 | 2\% | 15 | 2\% | 22 | 2\% | 21 | 3\% |
| HS/Equivalent | 879 | 85\% | 882 | 89\% | 812 | 91\% | 851 | 90\% | 762 | 92\% |
| All Other | 96 | 9\% | 52 | 5\% | 28 | 3\% | 35 | 4\% | 26 | 3\% |
| Total | 1,039 | 100\% | 995 | 100\% | 896 | 100\% | 949 | 100\% | 829 | 100\% |

## a. Enrollment by Gender

The following questions concern enrollment distribution by gender.

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about program enrollment by gender?

Females
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the female enrollment rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the female enrollment rates
$\checkmark$ the data trend shows no change in the female enrollment rates

## Males

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the male enrollment rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the male enrollment rates
$\nabla$ the data trend shows no change in the male enrollment rates
If the data trend shows a change in male or female enrollment, explain why there was a change.

## Answer:

The ratio of men to women in the program has remained stable over the five year period.
2. Does your program differ in the percentage of males to females, in this most recent year, compared to the College? (College 2018-19 = 52\% Female, 48\% Male)

```
\square \text { yes}
```

$\boxed{\square}$ no
If the data indicates a lack of gender parity in your program as compared to the college percentages, what is the source of that disparity and what proposed/planned actions is the program taking to achieve parity?

## Answer:

The Geography program is approximately equivalent to the college distribution of males to females. In 17/18 the program was $54 \%$ female, $45 \%$ male, $1 \%$ unreported

## Data Table for Enrollment by Gender of Declared Majors https://foothill.edu//programreview/prg-rev-docs/fh-programreview2019_20enroll-by-gender-and-declared-major.pdf (https://foothill.edu//programreview/prg-rev-docs/fh-programreview2019_20enroll-by-gender-and-declared-major.pdf)

Click the link to view Enrollment by Gender of Declared Majors data table and respond to the questions below.
3. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about enrollment (headcount) by gender of declared majors in the program?

Females
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the female enrollment of the declared major
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the female enrollment of the declared major
$\nabla$ the data trend shows no change in the female enrollment of the declared major

## Males

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the male enrollment of the declared major $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the male enrollment of the declared major $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the male enrollment of the declared major

## b. Enrollment by Ethnicity

The following questions concern enrollment distribution by ethnicity.

1. In the data table above, what do the data trends indicate about program enrollment by ethnicity?

African American
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the African Americans enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the African Americans enrollment rates the data trend shows no change in the African Americans enrollment rates

## Asian

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Asian enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Asian enrollment rates the data trend shows no change in the Asian enrollment rates

Filipinx
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Filipinx enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Filipinx enrollment rates $\nabla$ the data trend shows no change in the Filipinx enrollment rates

## Latinx

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Latinx enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Latinx enrollment rates $\boxed{\square}$ the data trend shows no change in the Latinx enrollment rates

Native American
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Native American enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Native American enrollment rates $\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows no change in the Native American enrollment rates

## Pacific Islander

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Pacific Islander enrollment rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Pacific Islander enrollment rates $\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows no change in the Pacific Islander enrollment rates

White
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the White enrollment rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the White enrollment rates
$\checkmark$ the data trend shows no change in the White enrollment rates
Decline to State
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Decline to State enrollment rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Decline to State enrollment rates the data trend shows no change in the Decline to State enrollment rates
2. Does your program differ in enrollment distribution among ethnic groups, in this most recent year, compared to the College enrollment by ethnic group? (College 2018-19 = 5\% African American, 30\% Asian, 5\% Filipinx, 26\% Latinx, 0\% Native American, 1\% Pacific Islander, 29\% White, 4\% Decline to State)

```
\square
```

$\square$ no
If yes, looking at the ethnic groups above, explain changes identified over the past five years for each ethnic group (address each ethnic group by bullet point).

## Answer:

The overall program enrollment by ethnicity has remained stable over the past five years. The distribution of ethnic groups overall mirrors the college trends except for the following: * Asian $25 \%$ compared to $30 \%$ for the college - this ratio has remained steady over five years and does not provide a significant concern. * White $34 \%$ compared to $29 \%$ for the college - this ratio has remained steady over five years.
3. Do the data trends suggest programmatic actions are necessary to address disparities in enrollment by ethnicity, including low enrollment within a particular group?

```
\square \mp@code { y e s }
```

$\boxed{\square}$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for addressing disparities in enrollment by ethnic group within the program.

## Answer:

## Course Success Rates by Unit

Course Success
Business \& Social Sciences - Geography-FH

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Success | 799 | $77 \%$ | 733 | $74 \%$ | 696 | $78 \%$ | 713 | $75 \%$ | 606 | $73 \%$ |
| Non Success | 125 | $12 \%$ | 135 | $14 \%$ | 100 | $11 \%$ | 123 | $13 \%$ | 112 | $14 \%$ |
| Withdrew | 115 | $11 \%$ | 127 | $13 \%$ | 100 | $11 \%$ | 113 | $12 \%$ | 111 | $13 \%$ |
| Total | 1,039 | $100 \%$ | 995 | $100 \%$ | 896 | $100 \%$ | 949 | $100 \%$ | 829 | $100 \%$ |

Course Success for African American, Latinx, and Filipinx Students

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Success | 212 | $65 \%$ | 172 | $55 \%$ | 204 | $70 \%$ | 219 | $65 \%$ | 186 | $60 \%$ |
| Non Success | 64 | $20 \%$ | 77 | $25 \%$ | 52 | $18 \%$ | 64 | $19 \%$ | 65 | $21 \%$ |
| Withdrew | 50 | $15 \%$ | 62 | $20 \%$ | 37 | $13 \%$ | 56 | $17 \%$ | 58 | $19 \%$ |
| Total | 326 | $100 \%$ | 311 | $100 \%$ | 293 | $100 \%$ | 339 | $100 \%$ | 309 | $100 \%$ |

Course Success for Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State Students

|  | 2014-15 |  | 2015-16 |  | 2016-17 |  | 2017-18 |  | 2018-19 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Success | 587 | $82 \%$ | 561 | $82 \%$ | 492 | $82 \%$ | 494 | $81 \%$ | 420 | $81 \%$ |
| Non Success | 61 | $9 \%$ | 58 | $8 \%$ | 48 | $8 \%$ | 59 | $10 \%$ | 47 | $9 \%$ |
| Withdrew | 65 | $9 \%$ | 65 | $10 \%$ | 63 | $10 \%$ | 57 | $9 \%$ | 53 | $10 \%$ |
| Total | 713 | $100 \%$ | 684 | $100 \%$ | 603 | $100 \%$ | 610 | $100 \%$ | 520 | $100 \%$ |

Some courses may continue to be listed but no longer have data due to renumbering or because the course was not offered in the past five years.
Printed on 6/16/2020

## a. Student Course Success

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about overall course success?
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the students' course success percentage
$\boxed{\square}$ the data trend shows a decrease in the students' course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the students' course success percentage
If the data trend shows an increase, decrease, or no change in students' course success percentage, explain what programmatic factors led to such a trend.

## Answer:

Overall success has shown a 4 point decline over five years. This is due to more online sections being taught by adjunct faculty who have not had the opportunity to build robust online courses. Also, we have seen a younger, less experienced group of learners who need a more structured online learning environment and more tutorial services. In 18-19, during faculty PDL, online success rates dropped 8 points to $60 \%$. Between 14-15 and 18-19, there was a $7 \%$ drop in students entering the program with an AA or higher, with a commensurate $7 \%$ increase in under 24 year-old students.
2. Do the data suggest changes are necessary to improve student course success?
$\boxed{\square}$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for stabilizing/increasing the student's course success percentages.

## Answer:

Geography faculty actively advocate for increased tutorial center support services. The vast majority of program FTES are online/hybrid. Until Fall 2019 when Net Tutor began Geography tutoring, there was no online tutorial support for Geography. Faculty have worked with the PSME Tutorial center, and starting in W2020 online and face-to-face Geography tutoring began in The Garden. The Geography

## b. Student Course Success by Student Groups

1. In the data table above, what is the observed trend for course success rates for African American, Filipinx, and Latinx student groups?
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the course success percentage
$\boxed{\square}$ the data trend shows a decrease in the course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the course success percentage
2. In the data table above, what is the observed trend for course success rates for Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State student groups?
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the course success percentage
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the course success percentage
$\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows no change in the course success percentage
3. In the data table above, is there a course success gap between African-American, Latinx, Filipinx student groups and Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, Decline to State student groups?
$\square$ yes
$\square$ no
If the data trend shows an increase or decrease in course success gap, explain why the course success gap increased or decreased.

## Answer:

Two targeted groups show a statistically significant gap in success rates. Success rates among African Americans show a 16\% gap, with a $12 \%$ margin of error, and Latinx groups show a $15 \%$ gap with an $8 \%$ margin of error. Both groups also showed a decrease in success over the five year study period. The department acknowledges that this is a significant issue and postulates that this is due to an increase in under prepared students who are lacking the foundation skills to be successful in transfer level science and social science classes.
4. Does the data suggest that changes are necessary to decrease student course success gap between AfricanAmerican, Latinx, Filipinx student groups and Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State student groups?

If yes, what actions are program faculty and staff engaged in to decrease the course success gap between African-American, Latinx, and Filipinx student groups and Asian, Native American, Pacific Islander, White, and Decline to State student groups?

## Answer:

Geography program faculty are actively working with the Foundations STEM center and would like to work with the TLC to provide tutorial support and college readiness skills to our students who are lacking basic study and quantitative skills. The department has also repeatedly reached out to the Athletics department and the Equity Office to garner institutional support for learning communities and cohort class groups to provide more targeted support for at-risk students and to lower barriers to entry to seeking tutorial support. The faculty also allow students to re-write/resubmit work if students receive help from tutorial services on low-scoring assignments.

## G. Student Course Success by Demographics

## a. Student Course Success by Gender

The following questions concern student success rates by gender.

# Course Success Rates by Group 

Success Rates by Gender<br>Business \& Social Sciences - Geography-FH

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Female | 324 | $72 \%$ | 66 | $15 \%$ | 58 | $13 \%$ | 448 | $100 \%$ |
| Male | 275 | $74 \%$ | 45 | $12 \%$ | 52 | $14 \%$ | 372 | $100 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 7 | $78 \%$ | 1 | $11 \%$ | 1 | $11 \%$ | 9 | $100 \%$ |
| All | 606 | $73 \%$ | 112 | $14 \%$ | 111 | $13 \%$ | 829 | $100 \%$ |

2017-18

Success

|  | Grades | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 419 | $78 \%$ |
| Male | 293 | $72 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 1 | $33 \%$ |
| All | 713 | $75 \%$ |

Non Success

| Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 55 | $10 \%$ | 63 | $12 \%$ | 537 | $100 \%$ |
| 68 | $17 \%$ | 48 | $12 \%$ | 409 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 | $67 \%$ | 3 | $100 \%$ |
| 123 | $13 \%$ | 113 | $12 \%$ | 949 | $100 \%$ |

2016-17

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent |  | Grades |  | Percent | Grades | Percent |
|  | Grades | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 387 | $77 \%$ | 56 | $11 \%$ | 59 | $12 \%$ | 502 | $100 \%$ |
| Male | 302 | $78 \%$ | 44 | $11 \%$ | 40 | $10 \%$ | 386 | $100 \%$ |
| Not Reported | 7 | $88 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $13 \%$ | 8 | $100 \%$ |
| All | 696 | $78 \%$ | 100 | $11 \%$ | 100 | $11 \%$ | 896 | $100 \%$ |

2015-16
Success Non Success Withdrew Total

|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 402 | 74\% | 77 | 14\% | 66 | 12\% | 545 | 100\% |
| Male | 323 | 73\% | 57 | 13\% | 60 | 14\% | 440 | 100\% |
| Not Reported | 8 | 80\% | 1 | 10\% | 1 | 10\% | 10 | 100\% |
| All | 733 | 74\% | 135 | 14\% | 127 | 13\% | 995 | 100\% |

2014-15

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| Female | 413 | 76\% | 61 | 11\% | 70 | 13\% | 544 | 100\% |
| Male | 379 | 78\% | 64 | 13\% | 45 | 9\% | 488 | 100\% |
| Not Reported | 7 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 7 | 100\% |
| All | 799 | 77\% | 125 | 12\% | 115 | 11\% | 1,039 | 100\% |

Success Rates by Age
Business \& Social Sciences - Geography-FH

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 99 | 76\% | 15 | 12\% | 16 | 12\% | 130 | 100\% |
| 20-24 | 372 | 74\% | 69 | 14\% | 62 | 12\% | 503 | 100\% |
| 25-39 | 98 | 67\% | 22 | 15\% | 27 | 18\% | 147 | 100\% |
| 40 + | 37 | 76\% | 6 | 12\% | 6 | 12\% | 49 | 100\% |
| All | 606 | 73\% | 112 | 14\% | 111 | 13\% | 829 | 100\% |

2017-18

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 99 | 77\% | 19 | 15\% | 10 | 8\% | 128 | 100\% |
| 20-24 | 444 | 75\% | 77 | 13\% | 69 | 12\% | 590 | 100\% |
| 25-39 | 138 | 73\% | 23 | 12\% | 27 | 14\% | 188 | 100\% |
| 40 + | 32 | 74\% | 4 | 9\% | 7 | 16\% | 43 | 100\% |
| All | 713 | 75\% | 123 | 13\% | 113 | 12\% | 949 | 100\% |

2016-17

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 103 | 87\% | 5 | 4\% | 11 | 9\% | 119 | 100\% |
| 20-24 | 406 | 76\% | 72 | 14\% | 54 | 10\% | 532 | 100\% |
| 25-39 | 145 | 74\% | 21 | 11\% | 30 | 15\% | 196 | 100\% |
| 40 + | 42 | 86\% | 2 | 4\% | 5 | 10\% | 49 | 100\% |
| All | 696 | 78\% | 100 | 11\% | 100 | 11\% | 896 | 100\% |

2015-16

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| 19 or less | 128 | 82\% | 15 | 10\% | 14 | 9\% | 157 | 100\% |
| 20-24 | 395 | 73\% | 82 | 15\% | 65 | 12\% | 542 | 100\% |
| 25-39 | 170 | 70\% | 33 | 14\% | 40 | 16\% | 243 | 100\% |
| 40 + | 40 | 75\% | 5 | 9\% | 8 | 15\% | 53 | 100\% |
| All | 733 | 74\% | 135 | 14\% | 127 | 13\% | 995 | 100\% |

2014-15


2018-19

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Grades | Percent |  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades |
|  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| African American | 30 | $57 \%$ | 16 | $30 \%$ | 7 | $13 \%$ | 53 | $100 \%$ |
| Asian | 176 | $83 \%$ | 17 | $8 \%$ | 18 | $9 \%$ | 211 | $100 \%$ |
| Filipinx | 31 | $74 \%$ | 4 | $10 \%$ | 7 | $17 \%$ | 42 | $100 \%$ |
| Latinx | 125 | $58 \%$ | 45 | $21 \%$ | 44 | $21 \%$ | 214 | $100 \%$ |
| Native American | 3 | $60 \%$ | 2 | $40 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 5 | $100 \%$ |
| Pacific Islander | 5 | $56 \%$ | 1 | $11 \%$ | 3 | $33 \%$ | 9 | $100 \%$ |
| White | 22 | $80 \%$ | 27 | $10 \%$ | 29 | $10 \%$ | 278 | $100 \%$ |
| Decline to State | 14 | $82 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3 | $18 \%$ | 17 | $100 \%$ |
| All | 606 | $73 \%$ | 112 | $14 \%$ | 111 | $13 \%$ | 829 | $100 \%$ |

2017-18

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 37 | 55\% | 16 | 24\% | 14 | 21\% | 67 | 100\% |
| Asian | 201 | 86\% | 14 | 6\% | 20 | 9\% | 235 | 100\% |
| Filipinx | 27 | 66\% | 8 | 20\% | 6 | 15\% | 41 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 155 | 67\% | 40 | 17\% | 36 | 16\% | 231 | 100\% |
| Native American | 7 | 78\% | 1 | 11\% | 1 | 11\% | 9 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 13 | 76\% | 2 | 12\% | 2 | 12\% | 17 | 100\% |
| White | 251 | 78\% | 37 | 12\% | 32 | 10\% | 320 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 22 | 76\% | 5 | 17\% | 2 | 7\% | 29 | 100\% |
| All | 713 | 75\% | 123 | 13\% | 113 | 12\% | 949 | 100\% |

2016-17

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 31 | 53\% | 17 | 29\% | 11 | 19\% | 59 | 100\% |
| Asian | 166 | 81\% | 17 | 8\% | 23 | 11\% | 206 | 100\% |
| Filipinx | 35 | 83\% | 4 | 10\% | 3 | 7\% | 42 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 138 | 72\% | 31 | 16\% | 23 | 12\% | 192 | 100\% |
| Native American | 7 | 88\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 13\% | 8 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 58\% | 2 | 17\% | 3 | 25\% | 12 | 100\% |
| White | 264 | 81\% | 27 | 8\% | 34 | 10\% | 325 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 48 | 92\% | 2 | 4\% | 2 | 4\% | 52 | 100\% |
| All | 696 | 78\% | 100 | 11\% | 100 | 11\% | 896 | 100\% |


|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 30 | 40\% | 25 | 33\% | 20 | 27\% | 75 | 100\% |
| Asian | 209 | 83\% | 14 | 6\% | 30 | 12\% | 253 | 100\% |
| Filipinx | 25 | 58\% | 9 | 21\% | 9 | 21\% | 43 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 117 | 61\% | 43 | 22\% | 33 | 17\% | 193 | 100\% |
| Native American | 2 | 67\% | 1 | 33\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 47\% | 5 | 33\% | 3 | 20\% | 15 | 100\% |
| White | 261 | 79\% | 37 | 11\% | 31 | 9\% | 329 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 82 | 98\% | 1 | 1\% | 1 | 1\% | 84 | 100\% |
| All | 733 | 74\% | 135 | 14\% | 127 | 13\% | 995 | 100\% |

2014-15

|  | Success |  | Non Success |  | Withdrew |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent | Grades | Percent |
| African American | 45 | 60\% | 17 | 23\% | 13 | 17\% | 75 | 100\% |
| Asian | 192 | 85\% | 21 | 9\% | 14 | 6\% | 227 | 100\% |
| Filipinx | 27 | 79\% | 4 | 12\% | 3 | 9\% | 34 | 100\% |
| Latinx | 140 | 65\% | 43 | 20\% | 34 | 16\% | 217 | 100\% |
| Native American | 9 | 75\% | 1 | 8\% | 2 | 17\% | 12 | 100\% |
| Pacific Islander | 7 | 50\% | 3 | 21\% | 4 | 29\% | 14 | 100\% |
| White | 272 | 80\% | 32 | 9\% | 38 | 11\% | 342 | 100\% |
| Decline to State | 107 | 91\% | 4 | 3\% | 7 | 6\% | 118 | 100\% |
| All | 799 | 77\% | 125 | 12\% | 115 | 11\% | 1,039 | 100\% |

Some courses may continue to be listed but no longer have data due to renumbering or because the course was not offered in the past five years.
Printed on 6/16/2020

1. In the data table above, what does the data indicate about program course success by gender?

## Females

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the female course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the female course success rates
$\nabla$ the data trend shows no change in the female course success rates

## Males

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the male course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the male course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the male course success rates
If the data trend shows an increase or decrease in the male or female student course success percentages, explain why the percentage increased or decreased for both.

## Answer:

The data trends do not show a statistically significant change in the male or female course success percentages.
2. Do the data suggest changes are necessary to improve female or male student course success percentage rates?
$\boxed{\square}$ yes
$\square$ no

## Answer:

It is the goal of the Geography department to increase all student success rates, regardless of gender. Both genders would benefit from an increase in tutorial support services providing college readiness and study skills such as those offered at the TLC. The TLC does not support Geography students. The Geography department is currently 75\% online, and in Spring 2019 there are no college level tutorial services offered for online students. An increase in tutorial modalities as well as cohort groups (such as Athletics) that could have targeted tutorial support services would benefit all students in the program regardless of gender.

## b. Student Course Success by Ethnicity

These questions concern the course success rates of students by ethnicity.

1. In the data table above, what does the data trend indicate about program student course success by ethnicity?

## African Americans

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the African Americans course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the African Americans course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows no change in the African Americans course success rates

## Asian

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Asian course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Asian course success rates $\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows no change in the Asian course success rates

Filipinx
$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Filipinx course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Filipinx course success rates
$\nabla$ the data trend shows no change in the Filipinx course success rates

## Latinx

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Latinx course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Latinx course success rates $\boxed{\nabla}$ the data trend shows no change in the Latinx course success rates

## Native American

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Native American course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Native American course success rates $\boxed{\square}$ the data trend shows no change in the Native American course success rates

## Pacific Islander

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Pacific Islander course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Pacific Islander course success rates $\checkmark$ the data trend shows no change in the Pacific Islander course success rates

## White

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the White course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the White course success rates
$\square$ the data trend shows no change in the White course success rates

## Decline to State

$\square$ the data trend shows an increase in the Decline to State course success rates $\square$ the data trend shows a decrease in the Decline to State course success rates $\nabla$ the data trend shows no change in the Decline to State course success rates

If the data trend shows a decrease in any of the student ethnic groups' course success rates, explain why the percentage decreased for each (address each ethnic group by bullet point).

## Answer:

N/A
2. Do the data indicate a gap in course success for any of the ethnic groups as compared to other groups?
$\square$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, describe the reasons for the gap in course success.

## Answer:

Two targeted groups show a statistically significant gap in success rates. The success rates among African Americans show a 16\% gap, with a $12 \%$ margin of error, and Latinx groups show a $15 \%$ gap with an $8 \%$ margin of error. Both groups also showed a very smal decrease in overall success over the five year study period. The department acknowledges that this is a significant issue and postulates that this is due to a larger fraction of under prepared students who are lacking the foundation skills to be successful in transfer level science and social science classes among these groups.
3. Do the data suggest that changes are necessary to improve program course success equality?
$\boxed{\square}$ yes
$\square$ no
If yes, describe the proposed actions for stabilizing/improving the course success by ethnicity.

## Answer

Geography program faculty are actively working with the Foundations STEM center and would like to work with the TLC to provide tutorial support and college readiness skills to our students who are lacking basic study and quantitative skills. The department has also repeatedly reached out to the Athletics department and the Equity Office to garner institutional support for learning communities and cohort class groups to provide more targeted support for at-risk students and to lower barriers to entry to seeking tutorial support. The faculty also allow students to re-write/resubmit work if students receive help from tutorial services on low-scoring assignments.

Use this opportunity to provide feedback on the template or address a topic that was not previously discussed.

## Answer:

The Geography department is currently $75 \%$ online. Faculty are concerned that as a result of our college pursuit of productivity the oncampus offerings have been reduced to a point that our department is lacking a significant on-campus presence. In addition, the current tutorial support offered by the college does not address the needs of online students. We would like to see increased college-level support for online tutorial services in the Foundations STEM center and the TLC. It is of concern that this template attributes negative causality to department actions when many shortcomings are linked to availability of integrated campus services.

This form is completed and ready for acceptance.

