

College Curriculum Committee  
Meeting Minutes  
Tuesday, June 15, 2010  
2:04 p.m. - 3:35 p.m.  
Toyon Room

<u>Item</u>	<u>Discussion</u>
1. Minutes: June 1, 2010	Cammin asked that her comments in item #3 be changed to reflect that she was merely informing the committee of a point of interest. The committee agreed and the minutes were approved with that change. <b>M/S/C (Schultz, Serna)</b> with 2 abstentions
2. Consent Calendar	<b>Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft</b> Question asked about the connection about the changes in the periods to ....Day also informed the committee that the courses are approved for CSU and UC GE. <b>M/S/C (Serna, Franco)</b> .
3. Proposed Apprenticeship Degree Programs	<b>Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft</b> The curriculum sheets were modified to incorporate the suggestions made by the committee on it's first read and there were no further suggestions or questions from the committee. <b>M/S/C (Schultz, Day)</b> to approve these programs as written.
4. SLO Resolution	<b>Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft</b> Continuation of the previous discussion. Academic Senate tasked CCC to write a resolution regarding this item. The group that wrote the attached document realized that this item involves a number of questions. It was decided that a number of resolutions would be better suited to express the spirit and need. Resolution #2: if your going to put an objective on the outline, SLO's are more internal objective and not necessarily helpful for the student? On the outlines, the objectives are more the activities that are accomplished where as the SLO is more the over arching later-use kind of skills. Why do you really want them to know this? Is there a greater purpose? The accreditation group is looking at the process right now. The assumption is that if the college is evaluated on this topic but all are maintaining that faculty will eventually be evaluated on this and Faculty Association is not interested in this happening at all. This entire situation came to the attention of the US government due to the testing companies producing information that basically said that colleges were not providing appropriate education. The nation-wide Academic Senate refused to allow across the board exit testing (similar to the K-12) to evaluate instructors and institutions. This is the local response to that call for better reportability of outcomes. Anne Paye suggested a possible solution of the department creating and approving a statement of the SLO for a course that would be attached to the green sheets for each section of the course as an addendum to the green sheet (that would be different by instructor). The purpose of the resolution was to make sure that it is well understood that the discussion is ongoing and that faculty are going to stand strong that no faculty evaluations will be made based on SLO's. Please take this info back to your areas for feedback. At this time the

	administration is recommending that faculty add SLO's to their green sheets. Dialog will continue.
5. Transfer Bill Update (SB 1440)	<b>Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft, Bernie Day</b> As of 2011-12, FH will be required to confer a "transfer degree" to students if they have completed the CSU/UC GE courses and 18 semester (27 quarter) additional units. If this passes, we will be required to get programs put together to get to the state for approval asap. Day also provided the committee with a comparison sheet that shows a few areas that FH requires more units than UC or CSU for a degree. AP credit is a part of this discussion. We could potentially have 2 degrees for each area, a transfer degree in Anthropology and a FH AS degree in Anthropology.
6. CCC Service	<b>Speaker: Eloise Orrell, Carolyn Holcroft</b> Thanked the group for all accomplished a tremendous amount of work. Some of those accomplishments: Stand Alone Course Approvals, Distance Learning addendums, final approval of the GE pattern, evaluating courses based on the new GE patterns, etc. Holcroft informed the group that she will be serving in this capacity for an additional 2 years and is encouraging anyone to get involved now to prepare for the leadership with CCC as it is very involved but very rewarding.
7. GE Petition Process Feedback	This will be addressed in the Fall.
8. FSA Update	<b>Speaker: Anne Paye</b> Anne provided a document that has been around for a while that's a great resource of basic information re: FSA's. It was further suggested that if there were any questions regarding how to determine the FSA, Paul Setziol could be of assistance. The legal advice given to the FA was to make the FSA as broad as possible to allow for retraining of personnel within one year. Anne will discuss with Paul the NANO issue where chemistry, physics and engineering are all appropriate FSA's for those courses. Verley asked that if the 2 dept from both campuses could not come to an agreement, who would make the final decision? It was suggested that perhaps the district AS leadership could come to an agreement.
9. Serving Next Year	The following are those who will be serving next year pending any changes/corrections. Additional names will be forwarded to Nunez as they become known. <b>AL:</b> Bea Cashmore <b>BH:</b> Gillian Schutlz <b>BSS:</b> Patricia Gibbs, Sam Connell <b>CNSL:</b> Leticia Serna <b>CTIS:</b> Verley O'Neal <b>FA:</b> Robert Hartwell, Joe Ragey <b>LA:</b> Kella Svetich, Falk Cammin <b>LIBR:</b> Kay Jones <b>PE:</b> <b>PS:</b> Mark Knobel , Marnie Francisco

**Atendees:** Rosemary Arca (SLO Coordinator), Falk Cammin (L A), Bea Cashmore (ALD), Sam Connell (BSS), Bernie Day (Articulation Officer), Karen Erickson (BH), Marnie Francisco (PSME), Stephanie Franco (Evaluations), Robert Hartwell (FA), Carolyn Holcroft (Faculty co-chair), Kay Jones (LIBR), Marc Knobel, (PSME), John Mummert (Dean, BSS), Peter Murray (Dean, PSME), C. Nuñez (I&IR), Verley O'Neal (CTIS), Eloise Orrell (Interim VP, Instruction), Anne Paye (Faculty Association), Simon Pennington (F A), Leticia Serna (CNSL), Kella Svetich (L A), Mary Thomas (LIBR)