

College Curriculum Committee
 Meeting Minutes
 Tuesday, October 18, 2011
 2:11 p.m. - 3:46 p.m.
 Toyon Room

<u>Item</u>	<u>Discussion</u>
1. Minutes: October 4, 2011	Minutes approved as written (Schultz, Hartwell) 3 abstentions (Messina, MacNeil, Lee)
2. Announcements a. Deadline review b. Curriculum Team Messaging	Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft a. FH GE applications for inclusion in 2012-13 catalog are due to Instruction Oct 31st; Need/Justification Statements are due Nov 15th; the deadline to submit new/revised courses to UC/CSU for IGETC/CSU GE Breadth patterns is Dec 1st; COR/Title 5 COR updates are due Dec 2nd. b. The curriculum team (Cori, Bernie, Kimberlee & Carolyn) are going to try an experiment: after each meeting we will send a very brief "communiqué" with the intention of clarifying issues that need constituent feedback. This will NOT replace communication/minutes from division CCC reps. c. State Academic Senate Area B meetings are Friday, October 21 and the Fall Plenary meeting is Nov 3,4 and 5 th in San Diego, CA. Important to get feedback about ASCCC resolutions packet to Dolores and Carolyn before plenary.
3. Consent Calendar	Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft <u>Consent Calendar: M/S/C (Hartwell, Murray)</u> approved consent calendar with 2 exceptions below. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Area IV, Social & Behavioral Sciences:</i> HIST 4A, 4B, 4C, 4CH, 9, 9H, 16, 16H, PSYC 1, 4, 10, 14, 21, 22. • <i>Area V, Communication & Analytical Thinking:</i> MATH 1A, 1B, 1C, 10, 11, 22, 44. • <i>Stand Alone:</i> NCBS 402 <u>Pulled for discussion:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • BUSI 18: Concerns that COR may not show sufficient breadth for GE. The committee would like more info from the author. • BUSI 59: Questions regarding the focus of the course being stretched to fit the application? Clarification from faculty author next mtg is requested. Author will be invited to the CCC mtg. <p>Discussion: There were questions regarding the subcommittee process and decisions with regard to GE approval. Cammin reminded the members of the time and effort put in by many members to produce the applications we are now using and that the intent was not to continue the status quo, but to strengthen our GE. Current subcommittee approval process was implemented in order to facilitate review of the large number of courses being resubmitted under new GE criteria but once this is complete, committee may wish to discuss option of bringing GE approval back to CCC as a whole. Messina opined that one of CCC's major charges is to review and approve GE program.</p>
4. Prerequisite Implementation Options	Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft Feedback regarding prerequisite implementation options:

	<p>BHS and PSME wants to have options to let faculty choose whether to use content review alone OR content review plus statistical validation; BSS likes content review but either option is fine with them. Reps charged with continuing to gather feedback. Action next mtg.</p>
<p>5. SB 1143 - Student Success Taskforce Recommendations</p>	<p>Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft Reps encouraged to call in to one of the two upcoming Webinars: 10/19 from 4-5:30 and 10/20, 3-4:30. They will go thru highlights of the recs and discuss implications. The suggestion was made to invite Rich Hansen to the next mtg to get more information as he was on the task force that developed. It was pointed out that this issue is huge and perhaps the entire FH community should hear this information and have discussion. The Oct 31st Academic Senate mtg will be devoted to this topic so reps encouraged to attend, and to invite constituents. Please urge constituents to look at recommendations and the resolutions as this is a huge issue. Ziegenhorn asked that divisions be given more advanced notice of important meetings like ASCCC plenary in the future, so that they might be able to plan a division meeting specifically to address important topics and resolutions. Charlie Rose this Saturday is speaking at Stanford, Horowitz encourages people to step out of our comfort zones and see what the legislators really think about the CC's, get different perspectives. Repeatability Appendix C (attached to resolutions packet): Ragey noted most recs effecting Fine Arts and PE. There are some good recommendations but some not so good. Task group was largely made up of faculty participants. Foothill had good representation at the repeatability "summit" meetings held in September. Please read the resolutions regarding repeatability and let Holcroft & Davison know how you'd like them to vote.</p>
<p>6. Resolution: Course Approval Process</p>	<p>Speaker: Carolyn Holcroft Introduced the conversation that had begun over a year ago re: issues involving interdisciplinary courses and potential processes by which new courses will be proposed. Foothill's unique division-level committee structure unfortunately potentiates the creation of courses in "division/discipline silos" without any prompt or requirement to consider overall college curriculum and programs. Cammin: If resolution passes will this require us to review all current active courses for interdisciplinary instances? No, the intent is to have a process from here forward. Should the CCC become the arbitrator for arguments? Perhaps we should. This committee is charged with the final decision for all college curricula. Please discuss with your constituents and bring back feedback, proposed revisions, alternatives, etc.</p>

Atendees: